

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOI/PA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOI/PA# 1338465-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 191

Page 37 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 39 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 40 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 41 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 42 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 43 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 44 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 45 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 46 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 47 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 48 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 49 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 50 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 51 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 52 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 53 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 54 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 55 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 56 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 57 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 58 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 59 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 60 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 61 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 62 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 63 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 64 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 65 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 66 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 67 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 68 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 69 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 70 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 71 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 72 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 73 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 74 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 75 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 76 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 77 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 84 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 85 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 86 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 87 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 88 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 89 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 90 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 92 ~ Duplicate;

Page 93 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 94 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 95 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 96 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 97 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 98 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 99 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 100 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 101 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 102 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 103 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 104 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 105 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 106 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 107 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 108 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 109 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 110 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 111 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 112 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 113 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 114 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 115 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 116 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 117 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 118 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 119 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 120 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 121 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 122 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 123 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 124 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 125 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 126 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 127 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 128 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 129 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 130 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 131 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 132 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 133 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 134 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 135 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 136 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 137 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 138 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 139 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 140 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 141 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 142 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 143 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 144 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 145 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 146 ~ Duplicate;

Page 147 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 148 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 150 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 151 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 152 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 153 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 154 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 155 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 156 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 158 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 159 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 161 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 162 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 163 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 164 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 165 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 166 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 167 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 168 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 169 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 170 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 171 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 172 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 173 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 174 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 175 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 176 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 177 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 178 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 179 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 180 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 181 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 182 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 183 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 184 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 185 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 186 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 187 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 189 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 190 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 191 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 192 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 193 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 194 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 195 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 196 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 197 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 198 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 202 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 203 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 205 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 206 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 207 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 208 ~ Duplicate;

Page 209 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 210 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 211 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 212 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 213 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 214 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 215 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 216 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 217 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 218 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 219 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 220 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 221 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 222 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 223 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 224 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 225 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 226 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 227 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 228 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 229 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 230 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 231 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 232 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 233 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 234 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 235 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 236 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 237 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 238 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 239 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 240 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 241 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 242 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 243 ~ Duplicate;

```
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X   Deleted Page(s)         X
X   No Duplication Fee      X
X   For this Page           X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
```



FILE: 105-0001749

1A NO | DESCRIPTION

0001 | 1) A COPY OF " THE FACTS "VOL VII, #6 OCTOBER 1952; 2) A COPY OF  
" THE FACTS " VOL. VIII, #3 MARCH 1953; 3) A PHOTOSTATICOPY OF  
" THE FACTS ", VOL.VII, #5 SEPTEMBER, 1952

NUM 1

#17

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 07-22-2005 BY 60309 AUC TAM/MLT/STW



105-1249-1A1

Date Received 10/22/54

From Wm. Pinsky

(Name of contributor)

By L. O. Broughton

(Special Agent)

To Be

Yes

No ☒

Description

(1) a copy of "The Facts", Vol. VII, # 6  
October 1952

(2) a copy of "the facts", Vol. VII, # 3  
March 1953

(3) a photostatic copy of "the facts", Vol. VII,  
# 5, September 1952

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 9-30-82 BY SP-3 ac/ga

# the facts

A PUBLICATION OF THE ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF B'NAI B'RITH • 212 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK 10, N. Y.

## THE POLITICAL SCENE

**T**HE AMERICAN ELECTION scene is often dirtied by pseudo-political elements antagonistic to the orthodox concepts of democracy and the American way of life. These elements, usually specious in approach and violent in attack, utilize the tools of slander, canard, and sordid appeals to base emotions. On the negative side of this year's political picture are the professional hatemongers, playing their usual divisive roles.<sup>1</sup>

On the positive side of the political picture, there are the two major parties, both with responsible leadership, and both fighting for their respective principles and platforms in the American way. However, a few unorthodox individuals always manage to ease their way even into the major political parties, and their activities should be recorded:—

### JOHN T. WOOD

At an age when most politicians are considering retirement from public life, 74 year old JOHN T. WOOD, of Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, representative of Idaho's First Congressional District, is just completing his first term in Congress. He is seeking reelection on the Republican ticket.

During his first term in Congress, Rep. Wood gained an unenviable reputation for his vitriolic attacks against the United Nations. His denunciations of the world organization have filled pages of the Congressional Record. Articles under his by-line attacking the U. N. have appeared in such notorious hate-publications as Gerald L. K. Smith's "The Cross and Flag," Conde McGinley's "Common Sense," and Gerald Winrod's "The Defender."

(A reprint from the Congressional Record of February 25, 1952, containing Wood's remarks, was addressed on the mailing machine of Gerald Winrod, veteran hate-racketeer and infamous anti-Semite, and sent through the U. S. mails under Rep. Wood's frank.)

John Wood has taken full advantage of the Congressional Record Appendix, inserting speeches of his own as well as reports and resolutions prepared by such organizations as anti-Semite Austin Handcock's "American Heritage Protective Committee," an agency stamped by hatemonger Gerald L. K.

Smith's endorsement; the "Nationwide Committee for Withdrawal From the United Nations," a now defunct Chicago group whose platform was graced by retired General George Van Horn Mosely,<sup>2</sup> a man denounced for his racist activities by American Legion posts; and rabble-rousing W. Henry MacFarland, Jr.'s<sup>3</sup> "American Flag Committee."

(W. Henry MacFarland, Jr. was exposed by the House un-American Activities Committee as having been associated with "three or four fascist movements." A now defunct organization of his, "The Nationalist Action League," was on the Attorney General's subversive list. According to the Buffalo Evening News, March 12, 1952, John Wood, when told about "fascist" MacFarland, Jr.'s distinctively sordid background, declared that his record had "no bearing on the merit of the 'Flag Committee's' attack on Unesco." MacFarland, Jr. currently is active in the inner-circle of "The Constitution Party.")

Vol. VII No. 6 OCTOBER, 1952

### THE POLITICAL SCENE

JOHN T. WOOD

RUSH D. HOLT

CHAPMAN REVERCOMB

RALPH W. GWINN

CLARE E. HOFFMAN

### SHADOWS ON THE POLITICAL SCENE

John Wood enjoys the enthusiastic support of America's most questionable professional propagandists. He has appeared as a guest speaker on Upton Close's radio program, February 18, 1951. A reprint of an "American Flag Committee" article attacking Unesco, and inserted by him in the Congressional Record, November 14, 1951, was distributed by Allen Zoll to members of Zoll's "National Council for American Education." (Zoll's now defunct "American Patriots, Inc." was declared "fascist" by the U. S. Attorney General.)

Wood has tried to aid Joseph P. Kamp, notorious propagandist praised by the Nazis. In 1950, Kamp served 4 months in jail for contempt of Congress. On January 30, 1952, Rep. Wood introduced House Resolution 513 to have another contempt citation against Kamp rescinded.

Wood is proud of his isolationism. "I admit it cheerfully," he has said, "and I have a new definition for it —

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

(1) See "The Facts," September, 1949.  
(2) See "The Facts," September, 1949.

(3) See "The Facts," April, November, December, 1949; March, May, July, 1950.  
(4) See "The Facts," September, December, 1949; May, 1950.

DATE 9-30-82 BY SP-3



isolation is patriotism in action." In August, 1951, he introduced House Bill 5080 proposing U. S. withdrawal from the United Nations, a bill which never got past the foreign affairs committee.

U. N. areas of interest in particular disfavor with Rep. Wood are Human Rights and Genocide legislation.

Aside from waging battle against the United Nations, another favorite maneuvering-ground of Rep. Wood is in the field of immigration, where he has been particularly vocal. On April 23, 1952, during the debate on the Walter Omnibus Immigration bill in the House, speaking in opposition to an amendment proposed by Rep. Celler (D.N.Y.) to utilize unused quotas, Wood declared:

**"It seems to me that the question of racial origins — though I am not a follower of Hitler — there is something to it . . . I believe that possibly statistics would show that the Western European races have made the best citizens in America and are more easily made into Americans . . . it seems to me it is a poor time to increase entry in our country of material that is questionable . . ."**

One of his staunchest "Boswells," Gerald L. K. Smith, publisher of the hate-spewing "Cross & Flag," has featured the Idaho representative's utterances on many occasions. In the February, 1952, issue of Smith's sheet, an address authored by Wood was printed, in which he said:

**"No wonder I shudder every time I hear the word 'democracy.' It . . . has an entirely different connotation than when Webster wrote his dictionary. Certainly no American should ever now use the term. I am sure I do not need to remind you that we are not now, and never were a democracy; we are a constitutional republic, and have nothing in common with that hated term, (democracy) which has now become opprobrious to a most extreme degree."**

The May, 1952, issue of the irreverent, hate-mongering Gerald Winrod's "Defender" carried an abridgement of the same Wood address which G. L. K. Smith had printed.

Another sheet in which John Wood's writings have been prominently featured is "Common Sense," published by gutter-bigot Conde McGinley, one of the most anti-Semitic publications in the land. Front-paged in the April 1, 1952, issue of "Common Sense" was an article by Wood attacking Trygve Lie, Secretary General of the United Nations, accusing him as being "a man brought forward by Stalin for this job" and a "Benedict Arnold to his own country (Norway)." (The same issue of "Common Sense" in which John Wood's article was featured, a headline story appeared entitled "JEWS WORLD HOAX," branding as lies all stories of the slaughter of Jews in Germany under Hitler.)

## RUSH D. HOLT

Back again before the political footlights in West Virginia is RUSH D. HOLT,<sup>5</sup> who once enjoyed a small fame as the

"boy senator." In 1935, still several months short of the constitutional age minimum of 30, he was elected and served one term as Democratic member of the U. S. Senate from West Virginia (1935-1941). Although known for a long time after election as the "boy," Holt quickly achieved a much wider renown through his uneasy associations with the worst anti-democratic forces of the nation.

Originally a Democrat, Rush Holt became possessedly anti-labor, anti-New Deal, anti-Roosevelt and an arch isolationist. In 1936, he was keynoter of Father Coughlin's "National Union for Social Justice" convention.

His coterie included such depraved anti-Semites as Edward J. Smythe, who called Holt "the greatest American in the Halls of Congress;" the rabid Joe McWilliams of "Christian Mobilizer" infamy; democracy's shame, Gerald L. K. Smith; William Dudley Pelley, "Silver Shirt" leader; and George E. Deatherage, convicted seditionist and ill-famed organizer of the "Knights of The White Camelia," a "fascist" group; C. Leon de Aryan, frenetic publisher of "The Broom," a scurrilous hate sheet; and Lyril Clark Van Hynning, a leading female mouthpiece of the anti-Semitic pack and publisher of the blatant "Women's Voice."

Holt was particularly vocal during his term as a U. S. Senator, and achieved great success as a speaker before crack-pot groups. On October 11, 1939, he spoke at a so-called "anti-war" rally of the "Citizens National Keep America Out Of War Committee." During the October 11 meeting, according to the N. Y. "World-Telegram," the audience continuously interrupted the speakers with anti-Semitic remarks, and many of those attending carried copies of Father Coughlin's "Social Justice." In his speech, Holt attacked Germany, but attempted to whitewash Hitler, claiming that the Fuehrer *was compelled* to do what he did.

After his defeat for reelection to the U. S. Senate in 1941, Holt continued to decorate the platforms of hate groups. His harangues were enjoyed by Gerald L. K. Smith's frantic followers. He became the "darling" of "Mother" groups, and was a special favorite of the notorious anti-Semitic "Mothers of Pennsylvania." He was a "white-haired boy" of hatred, named in Conde McGinley's "Common Sense" in a list of "sterling Americans who have had the courage to stand up for their convictions.

The "boy senator" has been courted indiscriminately by Coughlinites, Christian Fronters, and xenophobic isolationists, playing to the hilt his own virtuoso role of super-nationalist and Galahad defender of extreme reactionary causes. But Holt has also varied his role by intermittent incursions into the

(5) See "The Facts," September, December, 1950.

"respectable" political arena. In 1948, he unsuccessfully sought the West Virginia senatorial nomination in the Democratic primaries. Politically adaptable, he became a Republican, but again suffered defeat when he ran for Congress on the GOP ticket in 1950.

The election year of 1952 finds Rush Holt again in the running, this time as the Republican party's candidate for the governorship of West Virginia.

### CHAPMAN REVERCOMB

Another West Virginian rating mention is ex-Senator CHAPMAN REVERCOMB, again a candidate for the U. S. Senate on the Republican ticket. Serving as Senator from 1942 to 1948, Revercomb was defeated for reelection in the 1948 campaign.

During his tenure in Washington, Revercomb first became newsworthy through legislation which he helped father. As ranking member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, he was largely responsible for the discriminatory Displaced Persons Act.

The noted columnist and commentator, Drew Pearson, in his "The Washington Merry-Go-Round," July 20, 1948, remarked:

"Senate Democratic leaders say that one of the first moves at the special session of Congress will be to revamp the Displaced Persons Act. Many, Republicans agree, are angry at the anti-Catholic, anti-Semitic restrictions."

The bill is the handiwork of West Virginia's Republican Senator Chapman Revercomb, and the methods he used to foist it upon his fellow Senators are some of the most shameful in the history of the Eightieth Congress . . .

Revercomb bluntly told colleagues: "We could solve this DP problem all right if we could work out some bill that would keep out the Jews."

In the 1948 elections, when he ran for reelection on the Republican ticket, Revercomb did not receive the support of Republican presidential candidate Governor Thomas E. Dewey of New York because of the senator's stand on the DP Act.

The "New York World-Telegram," October 22, 1948, reported:

"Gov. Dewey's dislike for Sen. Chapman Revercomb goes back . . . to when Mr. Revercomb refused to heed the Governor's counsel to amend a displaced persons bill which had been criticized as anti-Catholic and anti-Jewish."

"Why Gov. Dewey carefully avoided helping GOP Sen. Revercomb, of W. Va. for reelection, is clear," declared Drew Pearson, October 18, 1948. "Revercomb rammed through the Displaced Persons Act, discriminating against Jews and Catholics and he wired the Justice Dept., asking that some of the worst Nazis in the U. S. be kept here. The Department had ordered them deported, but Revercomb demanded that the order be cancelled."

Revercomb was one of a few U. S. legislators who wrote to the parole board in behalf of former "Silver Shirt" leader, William Dudley Pelley, convicted on a sedition charge and sentenced to thirteen years in prison.

Chapman Revercomb in his attempt to return to Washington is running against Harley Kilgore, Democratic incumbent.

### RALPH W. GWINN

RALPH W. GWINN,<sup>6</sup> Republican representative of New York's 27th Congressional District since 1944, is currently campaigning for his fifth term in Congress. An ultra-conservative, Gwinn has had a long and close association with Merwin K. Hart, whom he has supported along with various questionable organizations; this is the reason for his inclusion in this report.

As early as March, 1946, his remarks were being hailed approvingly in Gerald Winrod's anti-Semitic hate-sheet, the "Defender." In 1948, Gwinn actively assisted Edward Rumely's<sup>7</sup> "Committee for Constitutional Government"<sup>8</sup> in its fight against the Taft-Ellender-Wagner housing bill. Gwinn's speech — "Public Housing — Disastrous Here And Abroad" — was sent out in Gwinn's franked envelopes to the "Committee's" mailing list.

(According to the New York Times, July 23, 1946, The "Committee for Constitutional Government" was characterized as a "Fascist movement" by Chicago's Senior Auxiliary Bishop, the Most Reverend Bernard J. Sheil.)

In 1949, Gwinn admitted that the CCG had contributed \$10,000 toward the reprinting of his anti-rent control speeches. During this period, the New York representative also cooperated closely with the notorious anti-Semite Merwin K. Hart, ruler of the "National Economic Council." Gwinn was one of the congressmen whom Hart interested in setting up a large-scale lobbying and propaganda organization to "deal with" the 1950 election.

On June 28, 1950, Gwinn inserted into the Congressional Record his attack on the House Committee on Lobbying Activities for its investigations of Edward Rumely's "CCG;" bigot Merwin Hart's "NEC;" and notorious hatemonger Joseph P. Kamp's questionable "Constitutional Educational League."

In July, 1950, during the House Lobbying Committee's investigations of Edward Rumely's Committee for Constitutional Government, it was revealed that Congressman Gwinn had used his mailing frank to send 2,500,000 copies of the "CCG's" propaganda around the country. Also disclosed was the fact that Gwinn had been on the payroll of Rumely's group in the amount of \$350.00 per month. He has admitted that he received from \$5,000 to \$6,000 during 1950 and 1951 from the "CCG" to pay for research and publication of his weekly give-away news-letter. (New York Herald-Tribune, September 24, 1952.)

(6) See "The Facts," September, December, 1950.  
(7) See "The Facts," September, 1950.

(8) See "The Facts," July, August, 1949.

So far as is known, Gwinn has not indulged in anti-Semitism.

## CLARE E. HOFFMAN

Another veteran in the congressional arena is CLARE E. HOFFMAN,<sup>9</sup> Republican representative of Michigan's Fourth District since 1935, and in the lists again on the Republican ticket.

His career has been checkered by a plethora of relationships and activities that have often aroused the concern of American minority groups.

Hoffman has distributed Joseph P. Kamp's literature from his congressional office; in 1948, after Nazi-praised Kamp had been convicted of contempt of Congress, Hoffman wrote in Kamp's behalf to U. S. District Judge Ben Moore, the trial judge.

Hoffman has falsely intimated that the ADL was on the U. S. Attorney General's subversive list. His mouthings in Congress have received the support of Father Coughlin's "Social Justice," and G. L. K. Smith's "Cross & Flag." Hoffman has also shared speaker's platforms with Smith. Gerald Winrod, publisher of "Defender," is also among those who have consistently endorsed Hoffman's point of view.

In the Congressional Record on various occasions, Rep. Hoffman has defended against attack the "Committee for Constitutional Government," Merwin Hart's "National Economic Council," and the "Constitutional Educational League." He has used the pages of the Congressional Record to defend Edward Rumely and Joe Kamp; to laud John Rankin; to attack Assistant Secretary of Defense Anna Rosenberg by stating that she "should go back to the country from where she came."

Several times in his career, Hoffman has found it necessary to disclaim publicly that he is anti-Semitic.<sup>10</sup> During the debate in 1952 on the discriminatory Walter Immigration Bill, Hoffman said, ". . . I go along with my friend from Mississippi (Mr. Rankin) in one thing . . . Over the years he has insisted that if there were any people in this country that needed protection from those who practice discrimination while condemning it, it was the white gentiles. . . ."

## SHADOWS ON THE POLITICAL SCENE

As in previous election years, 1952 has in addition its crop of pseudo-political campaigners. Most of these candidates, running on the tickets of hate and crackpot groups, have little more than fringe-appeal, and their ignominious defeats at the polls are assured.

Gerald L. K. Smith's "Christian Nationalist Party," successful again in getting on the ballot in Missouri,<sup>11</sup> and also in Texas, Washington and New Mexico, has a ticket headed by General Douglas MacArthur for President, Jack B. Tenney, California state senator for vice-president, and Christian Frederick for U. S. Senator, an individual who once won 6 votes as the CNP's candidate for alderman in St. Louis' Sixth Ward. General MacArthur has never consented to the use of his name by these groups who sully it. He has repeatedly disavowed political ambitions during 1952 but apparently has not wanted to dignify Gerald Smith by selecting him for specific rejection.

JACK B. TENNEY,<sup>12</sup> the "Christian Nationalists" vice-presidential hope, is a long-time prime "favorite" of G. L. K. Smith. In his politicking, Tenney, nominally a Republican, has been consistently supported by Smith for many years. All the pages of Smith's "The Cross & Flag," that have lauded Tenney would make a fat volume. Assembly halls where Smith has orated have resounded blatantly time and again with Jack Tenney's name. Jack Tenney is "one of the greatest Americans in America who has fought the Jews," Smith has declared.

Tenney's keynote is "anti-communism," attacking civil-rights legislation and FEPC as "communist" inspired.

On the Missouri state slate, an aggregation of Smith camp-followers are listed as "Christian Nationalist" candidates, including DON LOHBECK, Smith's notorious alter ego and general handyman, for governor; OPAL M. TANNER, Smith's stenographer, for lieutenant-governor; and ALVA JERNIGAN, a penurious door-to-door peddler of costume jewelry, for congressional representative of Missouri's First Congressional District.

The "Constitution Party"<sup>13</sup> of anti-Semitic rabble-rousers Upton Close, George T. Foster, W. Henry MacFarland, Jr., and Kenneth Goff has a "national" ticket headed by General Douglas MacArthur for president, with Vivian Kellems in the vice-presidential slot. The "Constitutionalists" have thus far succeeded in getting on the ballot in Texas and Colorado. (Again, Douglas MacArthur has given no consent for the use of his name.)

Senator Harry Byrd (D-Va.) was originally the Constitution Party's "unsolicited" choice for the second spot on its ticket, but he disavowed its claim to his name and requested that he be removed as a candidate. VIVIAN KELLEMS politically ambitious, prominent lady industrialist of Stonington, Connecticut, was named as a substitute for the Virginia senator, picked as the Constitutionalists' second choice vice-presidential candidate. Miss Kellems is running as an independent Republican candidate for the U. S. Senate seat from Connecticut. There is no evidence that she has approved the use of her name as the "Constitution Party's" vice-presidential candidate.

(9) See "The Facts," December, 1949; April, September, October, December, 1950; January, 1951.

(10) See "The Facts," October, 1948.

(11) See "The Facts," September, 1950; September, 1952.

(12) See "The Facts," September, 1949.

(13) See "The Facts," September, 1952.

# the facts

A PUBLICATION OF THE ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF B'NAI B'RITH • 212 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK 10, N. Y.

## THE CONSTITUTION PARTY

**I**N THE CURRENT political campaign, the nation's hate-mongers and professional anti-Semites are making one of their strongest bids for public attention in recent years. In the months preceding the two major party conventions at Chicago, the forces of bigotry were already in high gear, making all-out frontal assaults on the structure of American democracy and decency. Their ammunition was the usual diatribe and specious canard, hurled with a consummate viciousness not seen since the anti-Catholic attacks against Alfred E. Smith in 1928. The most flagrant example was the scurrilous, anti-Semitic campaign waged against General Dwight D. Eisenhower, portraying the General as Jewish or a tool of the Jews.

Other divisive forces were, and still are, at work, and many strange alliances have arisen on the political scene. Otherwise respectable nationalists, dissatisfied with the policies and choice of nominees of both major parties, have joined in uneasy union with street-corner rabble-rousers and gutter-bigots to voice that dissatisfaction.

After the Democrats and Republicans had chosen their respective nominees, these dissidents were the next "political" group to converge upon Chicago. On August 7th and 8th, a heterogeneous collection of super-nationalists, isolationists, states'-righters, white-supremacists, anti-Semites, and home-grown fascists from all over the country assembled at Chicago's Hotel Sherman. The purpose of the meetings was to express dissatisfaction with the existing political set-up, and to discuss the possible formation of a new third party.

The meetings were sponsored by the "Minute Women of Maryland," and organized by a group called "Operation America, Inc."

(Official literature of "Operation America" describes itself as "solely a service . . . to help make Americans . . . aware of what they each may do about preserving 'their land of the free.'" Operating as a "clearing-house, as friendly intermediary," Operation America "introduces one element to another" and "provides whatever infor-

mation is needed by those who are striving to re-establish the fundamental American principles of Constitutional Government . . .")

At the request of Dr. Ella Lonn, "Minute Women" leader of Baltimore, Md., "Operation America, Inc." agreed to organize in the name of her group a "conference to discuss the problems precipitated by the nationwide disappointment over the National Convention results." The "Minute Women of Maryland" defrayed the actual cash expenditures involved in the venture, and "Operation America" handled all the organization details of the Chicago gathering.

Vol. VII No. 5 September, 1952

### THE CONSTITUTION PARTY

BALLOTING BY OTHER BIGOTS

OPERATION CHICAGO

THE ANTI-SEMITES  
vs. EISENHOWER

THE SENATOR NIXON RUMORS

("Operation America, Inc." qualified its role by declaring: "We stress again the Conference in Chicago . . . is called by Operation America, Inc. for the Minute Women . . . The Minute Women requested the Conference, and it will be held by them. Operation America, Inc. is the broker, the entrepreneur . . . and is responsible for the arrangements . . .")

200 attended the Hotel Sherman sessions, with 179 actually registered participants. Present were such persons as Merwin K. Hart, Upton Close, George T. Foster, Kenneth Goff,<sup>1</sup> and W. Henry MacFarland, Jr.<sup>2</sup>

Attending also were Allen Zoll<sup>3</sup> and Mrs. E. Wyatt Payne,<sup>4</sup> president and vice-president respectively of the "National Council for American Education"; Lucille Cardin Crain, editor of the "Educational Reviewer"; Suzanne S. Stevenson,<sup>5</sup> national chairman and founder of the "Minute Women of America"; and Congressmen Ralph Gwinn (R-N.Y.) and Howard Buffet (R-Neb.)

Over 80 organizations were registered including "Minute Women" units from several states and the "Minute Women of America" (the parent body); Myron Fagan's "Cinema Educational Guild"; George T. Foster's "Constitutional Americans"; Kenneth Goff's "Colorado Anti Communist

(1) See "The Facts", February, April, September, 1949.

(2) See "The Facts", September, December, 1949.

(3) See "The Facts", July-August, September, November, December, 1949.

May, 1950; May, 1951.

(4) See "The Facts", June-July, 1952.

(5) See "The Facts", June-July, 1951.

(6) See "The Facts", June-July, 1949; August, 1950; January, 1951.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 9-30-82 BY SP-3 wcl/gx



League;"<sup>7</sup> Merwin K. Hart's "National Economic Council, Inc.;"<sup>8</sup> and Allen Zoll's "National Council for American Education."<sup>9</sup>

George Washington Robnett,<sup>10</sup> president of the "Church League of America," was the original chairman of the sessions. Percy L. Greaves, Jr., keynote speaker, spoke on the necessity for formation of a new party.

(Percy L. Greaves, Jr. once served as associate research director of the Republican National Committee. In 1947, he became known as an opponent to immigration of D.P.'s into the U. S. He is a long time confidant and associate of Merwin K. Hart.)

Although the binding theme of the sessions was dissatisfaction with the makeup of both major parties and their presidential nominees, the group quickly split up into two opposing factions on the basis of the third party issue. One faction, although in favor of forming a new party in the future, felt that it was impractical at present; that major efforts should be concentrated upon electing national legislators sympathetic to the ultra-conservative, isolationist views which had drawn the group together. The other faction advocated formation of a new party immediately.

There was much heated debate over this issue. George Foster, anti-Semitic leader of the "Constitutional Americans," denounced both parties and their presidential nominees and made an impassioned speech in favor of forming a new party and naming its own presidential candidates. Upton Close spoke in favor of the forces which wanted to proceed slowly.

Nothing was agreed upon during any of the discussions, and the meetings were finally adjourned after a complete break between the two opposing factions. Following the adjournment, a "rump" group immediately took over. Mrs. Suzanne S. Stevenson, national leader of the "Minute Women," announced that she was personally reconvening the convention. She announced that Percy Greaves, Jr. would act as temporary chairman.

About one-hundred people remained from the original sessions. Greaves, who took ex-chairman Robnett's gavel, called for the names of two members from each state represented to be placed on the national committee of the new party.

Especially significant is the fact that among the names of the national committee chosen were notorious anti-Semites and hatemongers George Foster, Kenneth Goff, and W. Henry MacFarland, Jr. MacFarland was also named to the executive committee of the new party. According to the House un-

American Activities Committee, MacFarland, leader of the "American Flag Committee," has been associated with "three or four Fascist movements."

Upton Close, originally with the "moderates" who walked out on the convention, quickly jumped on the bandwagon, however, and was chosen to promote the public-relations of the new party. His August 18, 1952 "Closer-Ups" devoted a complete issue boosting the party.

Percy Greaves, Jr. was elected national chairman and Mrs. Suzanne S. Stevenson, prime mover of the "rump" group, elected co-chairman. On August 16, 1952 the name of the new party, the "Constitution Party of the United States," was announced. Members will be known as "Constitutionalists."

The "Constitution Party," originally the product of a "rump" movement, was apparently not destined for solidarity within its fold. Some weeks after the "Constitutionalists" started operating, there was another splintering of the new movement, and a second "rump" group took control of the party. This time, the professionals—the arch bigots, orthodox anti-Semites, and sundry other enemies of American democratic tenets—took over the reins.

On August 30, 1952, the "Constitution Party" met at the Adelphia Hotel, Philadelphia, Pa., and jerry-built a new "permanent" organization on top of the original structure. Many well-weathered, calloused old hands of the hate-racket, with their faithful retainers, were present as delegates to this latest political mutation, with such notorious bigots as Upton Close, Kenneth Goff, W. Henry MacFarland, Jr. and George T. Foster in full cry.

Mrs. Stevenson and Percy Greaves, the supposed permanent co-chairmen of the party, were present in Philadelphia that day, but did not attend the sessions. Mrs. Stevenson, registered at the Hotel Adelphia, charged that the meeting was illegal. She asserted that W. Henry MacFarland, Jr. called the meeting and made all arrangements without her or Mr. Greaves sanction. "When we saw the people attending this meeting," she said, "we decided we wanted no part of it. We are resigning from any connection with the 'Constitution Party' that is organizing in Philadelphia."

Mrs. Catherine Baldwin<sup>11</sup> (once a hand-maiden of such now defunct groups as the "Christian Front" and "America First," etc., and colleague of Elizabeth Dilling), adding her wedge to the schism, declared that *she* was the original incorporator of the "Constitution Party," in Washington, D. C. on May 23, 1952, and that Mrs. Stevenson and Mr. Greaves "were not going to interfere with this meeting." She then introduced Edward Ray, of Hollywood, Calif., as first speaker.

(7) See "The Facts" December, 1950.

(8) See "The Facts" February, November, 1949; June, August, November, 1950.

(9) See "The Facts" June-July, September, November, 1949; May, 1951.

(10) See "The Facts" May, 1951.

(11) See "The Facts" September, 1950.

Ray, whose wife acted as recording-secretary, declared the purpose of the meeting was to elect permanent officers; to discuss presentation of a ticket which would adhere to the principles of MacArthur; and to discuss the possibility of a write-in campaign. Ray, acting as proxy for Arthur E. Case of Los Angeles (a man termed "a brilliant student of world affairs" by G. L. K. Smith's "Cross & Flag"), then nominated Haliburton Fales, New York City broker, for new "permanent" chairman of the party. The nomination was seconded by George T. Foster.

Dr. Ella Lonn, "Minute Woman" of Baltimore, who had originally called the signals for the first Chicago sessions, then nominated G. I. Whitmer of Baltimore as treasurer, praising him highly for organizing the "Constitution Party" in that city, and with particular praise for his role in distributing Joseph Kamp's "Headlines" in that locale. "No minority groups will ever dictate to me," Dr. Lonn informed the meeting.

On the roster of newly-elected officers were Haliburton Fales, chairman; G. I. Whitmer, treasurer; and W. Henry MacFarland, Jr., secretary.

Mr. Fales, after thanking the delegates for electing him chairman, declared that he had been a heavy contributor to the Republican Party for years and hoped to contribute to the new "Constitution Party." Fales said there was a possibility that some national military figures will eventually head the party.

The next speaker, George T. Foster, said: "We realize we cannot do much in this election, but we aim for 1954 and 1956. We advocate write-ins and loyal aims whenever we find them. We hope for MacArthur to be our candidate, but as yet we have no definite word from him."

After a recess, the second meeting of the sessions formed, and a "preamble" to the "Constitution Party's" set of principles was read by Dr. Lonn:

**"Whereas all three branches of the government have strayed from the Constitution, we have formed a political party dedicated to the basic principles of the Constitution."**

The principles include:

**"Governmental powers not delegated to the national government by the Constitution should be restored to and retained by the states . . . We are opposed to membership in any international organization . . . We also are opposed to . . . all forms of governmental favors to any group or class in the forms of loans, subsidies, price, wage or rent controls . . . We must preserve the Christian heritage, which has been the strength of this nation."**

After the principles of the party were read, Upton Close then made his appearance at the meeting. He was greatly applauded by those present. George Foster, in introducing him, praised him as one of the outstanding Americans, radio commentator, specialist on affairs of the Far East, and "loved" by certain "minority groups."

Close stated that he was going to handle publicity for the new party. Addressing the assembled body, he declared the party's aims as a "strong organizational framework for the 1954 and 1956 elections; and to work among the electors for the coming election to make them use their constitutional right to select an independent choice in view of the country's crisis, which he described as military (Korea) and financial (the threat of a depression)."

On August 31, 1952, an Associated Press story carried the results of the Hotel Adelphia sessions:

**"The Constitution Party, proclaiming itself as opposed to 'international conspirators who have derided and defied our Constitution for more than a decade,' set out today on a campaign to win Electoral College Votes for General of the Army Douglas MacArthur for President and Senator Harry F. Byrd . . . for Vice President."**

**"Announcement of the party formation, its ticket and its plans was made here last night after a closed meeting. Upton Close . . . spokesman for the group, said thirteen states were represented . . . and a number of candidates endorsed . . . Mr. Close said the statement of party principles called for: free enterprise and an uncontrolled economy; unalterable opposition to Universal Military Training; withdrawal from 'any international organization . . . and an 'end to all international spending and boondoggling' . . . The list of candidates named by Mr. Close as having been endorsed at the meeting was headed by Senator Joseph R. McCarthy, Republican of Wisconsin . . . Senator William E. Jenner, Republican of Indiana; Senator John W. Bricker, Republican of Ohio; Price Daniel for United States Senator from Texas; 'probably' Gov. Allan Shivers, Democrat, for re-election in Texas; and Representative John F. Kennedy, Democrat of Massachusetts, for United States Senator . . . General MacArthur could not be reached for comment."**

On September 1, 1952, the Associated Press reported that the "Constitution Party" lost its two chairmen because, as Mrs. Stevenson said, "some of its members had made statements that sounded anti-Semitic." The resignations of Mrs. Suzanne Silvercruys Stevenson as chairman and Percy L. Greaves, Jr. of Chevy Chase, Md. as co-chairman were announced by Mrs. Stevenson. Asserting that some members of the National Committee had objected to her because she was a Roman Catholic and foreign born (Belgium), Mrs. Stevenson said: "The principles of the party as rewritten by an unauthorized meeting of a few of the National Committee in Philadelphia last week contain the phrase: 'We must preserve our Christian heritage which has been the strength of this nation.' Such a declaration sounds to me like anti-Semitism. I will have no part of any movement which seems to me to be so steeped in bigotry."

Senator Harry F. Byrd of Virginia, involuntary running-mate of General MacArthur on the "Constitution Party's" ticket, obviously has no intention of taking part in any such third party movement. According to the Associated Press, September 3, 1952:

**"Byrd has wired Bard A. Logan, Constitution party convention chairman in Texas, to have his name taken off the ballot there. The action came . . . after Byrd was informed that a MacArthur-Byrd ticket had been filed in Texas . . . He had said previously that he had 'no contact with the group.'"**

Although the new party has filed for a place on the Texas ballot, no decision has yet been passed down by the state election authorities accepting the party's claims.

The "Constitution Party," founded largely by a group of dissatisfied nationalists in Chicago, but captured in Philadelphia by some of the palace-guard of organized hate in America, is still only in its germinal stage. However, from the record of its activities to date, it is quite apparent that this new party, with its featured cast of bigots, is the political bandwagon on which many star performers of America's hate-circus intend to ride in the future.

## BALLOTING BY OTHER BIGOTS

Gerald L. K. Smith's "Christian Nationalist Party" and Chicago bigot Lar Daly's "America First Party" have also been active on the political scene. At a "Christian Nationalist Crusade" meeting in Los Angeles, August 12, 1952, Smith declared "We are going to put MacArthur's name on the ballot in every state."

The Associated Press, August 20, 1952, reported that petitioning by the "Christian Nationalist Party" had been successful in Texas, and that General Douglas MacArthur would be assigned a spot on the ballot as presidential candidate of the party. Listed as vice-presidential candidate was Jack B. Tenney, California state senator.

The "St. Louis Post-Dispatch," on August 21, 1952, commenting on the "Christian Nationalist Party's" success in also winning a place on the Missouri ballot, said:

"Gen. MacArthur's name has been appropriated by St. Louis leaders of Gerald L. K. Smith's race-hating Christian Nationalist crowd and listed as the party's candidate for President . . . Under Missouri law, consent of the candidate is not required. The Christian Nationalists attempted to launch a "Draft MacArthur" movement at the Republican convention . . . Gen. MacArthur may not have known of the Chicago shenanigans, but the group's current efforts cannot escape his notice. He ought to disassociate himself from that bunch, completely and immediately."

In his initial bid in Illinois, Lar Daly was unsuccessful. The state electoral board turned down nominating petitions seeking to enter the names of General MacArthur, Senator Harry Byrd of Virginia, and John Morse of Chicago as "America First" candidates for the presidency, vice-presidency, and Illinois governorship respectively.

In Missouri, however, Lar Daly's "America First Party" won a place on the ballot just as G. L. K. Smith's group did, filing a slate of electors and the names of General MacArthur and Senator Byrd as its presidential and vice-presidential candidates.

In a statement, Lar Daly, "national chairman" of "America First," said the platform of his party "will call for use of the atomic bomb to end the Korean war, and United States withdrawal from the United Nations."

## OPERATION CHICAGO

As in many a past election campaign, G. L. K. Smith has been very active on the current political scene. And this year, as always, the "Rev." Smith did not appear on the scene cold. His "successful" politicking in the states of Texas and Missouri was preceded by groundwork laid during the Republican convention at Chicago, when he moved into the city with his cohorts to broadcast his doctrinaire philosophy of hate in the political arena.

A "politician" of Smith's repute needs a candidate to sponsor, and his Chicago trek was for that purpose—to declare himself. In a circular letter headed "History In the Balance," dated July 10, 1952, directed to "Dear Loyal Friend," Smith addressed his following:

"In the past 72 hours my staff, in cooperation with approximately 300 volunteers, have distributed by hand in Chicago about 150,000 pieces of literature. We rented the big ballroom in the Congress Hotel . . . At the last minute, even after we paid the rent and spent a total of more than \$1,000.00 on the meeting, the Jew management demanded a letter from the committee assuring them that Gerald L. K. Smith would not speak. We had the choice of cancelling our meetings or fulfilling their Jew-designed demands. Mr. Don Lohbeck, the brilliant editor of the Daily Bulletin and 'The Cross and the Flag,' spoke in my place. The great audience that filled the Grand Ballroom . . . cheered and leaped to their feet as he paid glowing tribute to General MacArthur and called for his nomination.

The weekly bills in our office total more than \$10,000.00 per month. We are alerting millions of people, thanks to your contributions of money . . . Put the largest gift-of-money-within-your-ability . . . and rush it into the mail . . . The humble contribution you make may be the gift that will tip the scale in this dramatic moment. . . ."

A postscript appeared, saying, "This fateful deadly hour requires that you and I make every possible sacrifice in time and money for this Crusade or we are doomed to bondage and Jew-controlled bureaucratic tyranny."

During the Republican convention, Chicago became a rallying ground of bigotry, when an anomalous aggregation of the nation's rabble-rousers descended en masse upon the city to hawk their products of hate along the political by-ways. Aside from Gerald L. K. Smith's clan-gathering, two other hate groups—both called "Nationalist Convention"—converged on Chicago, each meeting July 4th, 5th and 6th. The larger of these two meetings, held at the Atlantic Hotel, was under the joint sponsorship of Mrs. Lyril Clark Van Hyning<sup>12</sup> of "We The Mothers Mobilize for America," and George Foster, now a member of the new "Constitution Party's" hierarchy.

At the Van Hyning-Foster "convention," the choice of political candidates was discussed. They were opposed to "Ike" because he is the "hand-picked candidate of Barney Baruch." They were opposed to Taft because Wall Street was behind him. The only good thing about "Harry Solomon Truman" was that he brought MacArthur back to the U. S. General MacArthur's name was greeted with great applause. George Foster stated that there were delegates present from



## THE "HATE" PRESS AND THE NEW ADMINISTRATION

THE new administration in Washington has become the target of the same campaign of vulgar anti-Semitic vilification as that which was used for years against the Democratic regime.

By all the rules of logic the nation's bigots, who for years had been ranting against an imaginary "Invisible Government" and the "Jew-Marxist-Zionist" New Deal should have been highly pleased by the defeat of the Truman administration. But the majority of the hate-mongers, refusing to surrender one of their most cherished propaganda themes, insist that "nothing has changed" and that the Republican Administration like the Fair and New Deals is controlled by the "Jews".

Among the first to sound the alarm was Gerald Smith who, in his November 10 Newsletter, professed to "have shed some tears in the past few hours and days" because "the election of Eisenhower means that Baruch and his gang of powerful international Jews have captured the White House again." Warning that "this vicious international Jew machine . . . is as powerful among Republicans as it is among Democrats," Smith charged that:

The first step . . . of Baruch and his ruthless ilk of international manipulators . . . will be complete conscription of all human beings from 17 to 70. Their second gesture of tyranny will be to repeal the McCarran Immigration Act so that 20 million Jews and colored will be dumped on American shores. They propose to see to it that never again will the great white Christian population of America be able to express major power. . . .

After the inauguration Smith continued his attacks. In an editorial in the January, 1953 issue of *The Cross and the Flag*, America's loudest bigot wrote that:

It is unbelievable that mature-minded citizens could think that there has been any serious change in the Administration of our Government. . . . Eisenhower is a creature of Marshall, Roosevelt, Baruch and Truman. Truman's quarrel with Eisenhower was . . . a family quarrel. . . . Behind the Truman machine and behind the Eisenhower machine stands a Baruch.

Adding that "it is well for us to refresh ourselves on the . . . magnificent research job done on Eisenhower by the great patriot Mr. Joseph P. Kamp," Smith provided his readers with a summary of Kamp's "sneer" brochure published last spring which "proved" that "The Jews" were backing Eisenhower for President.<sup>(1)</sup>

C. Leon De Aryan's *The Broom* also felt that the man who really "won the election" was "Bernard Mannes Baruch," and in an editorial headed "Ike's New Deal Cabinet," charged that "The Elders of Zion are [again] riding high and driving the nation to slavery." Said *The Broom*:

Instead of turning to God and first principles of right, they turned to a faked 'right'—the 'Republican' party candidate nominated by Baruch and Dewey, the Jewish choir boy—and now the fools worship Ike in place of God and expect to be 'saved' by his cabinet appointments of old Newdealers as 'Republicans', John Foster Dulles-style, to 'return' to American free enterprise system of life. . . .

The key to Eisenhower's administration [is] . . . the Rothschild domination through usury which controls politics. . . . Now we are 'back again' with Eisenhower, the over-night 'Republican' who is staffing his cabinet with Internationalist stooges and will follow the same policy of running to U.S.A. for the usurer's profits—Republican-wise—to avoid a revolt of the skinned cattle: the Goyim.

McGinley's hate-sheet, *Common Sense*, felt that the election results were, on the whole, "very encouraging" to those "who have been fighting . . . the pro-Communist, anti-Christian plan of the masterminds of the New Deal." But it reported that "this was not a 100 per cent victory against Marxism," and pointed out that:

The same powerful Zionist International group which has been in complete control of the New Deal for twenty years also has used Eisenhower to do an important part in this plan to break down European countries for Communism and used our State and Treasury Departments to pay thousands of communist government employees who have been spending most of their time in building up communism. . . . The Marxists will now try to align themselves with this new administration and work in so as to carry on their plan for Jewish control.

In a later issue (Jan. 1st) McGinley ran a front-page story captioned "Baruch Appoints Eisenhower Cabinet" in which he discussed the "significance" of the impending appointment of C. Douglas Dillon as Ambassador to France. Said McGinley:

It is generally known that Bernard Baruch . . . control[s] . . . the banking firm of Dillon, Read and Co. of [which] the Mr. Dillon in question is Chairman of the Board. This Mr. Dillon is a student of Felix Frankfurter, who taught at Harvard . . . [and who] placed more Communists in the New Deal than any other five Jews. Frankfurter today is the most powerful man behind Eisenhower with the exception of Bernard Baruch.

After reporting that Ambassador Dillon's father's "real name" was Lapowski, *Common Sense* concluded:

The overall picture gives the impression that Eisenhower knowingly or unknowingly acted as a front for Baruch whom we have always known was the spokesman for World Jewry, for Churchill who now boasts of being a Zionist and for other One-Worlders.

Mrs. Lyril Clark Van Hyning's *Women's Voice* also saw the cabinet appointments as reflecting "The Voice of Eisenhower but the Hand of Baruch." Wrote Mrs. Van Hyning:

Appointees of the New President are New Dealers with few exceptions. It is a change of president but not of

(1) See *The Facts*, April-May, 1952.

policy. Dulles is probably the worst and this Dillon, newly appointed Ambassador to France, is a Jew (name, Lapowski). Everything is being revealed to the American people. Their eyes are being opened [even though] there are no Jews in the first line appointments. . . .

The pre-inaugural conference of Bernard Baruch, Prime Minister Churchill and President-elect Eisenhower brought forth a storm of invective from the nation's hate-press, which saw it as heralding "another Zionist war."

The most vicious comment came from the professional anti-Semite Henry H. Klein who contributed an article on "King Barney and His Satellites" to the January 29 issue of Women's Voice. Said Klein:

King Barney and his Satellites gathered in his royal suite . . . and discussed the next steps in Zionist rulership. . . . They all got orders from their royal patron.

Barney is enthroned not in Palestine, but in New York City, capital of Zionism. He rules as a direct descendant of ancient King David [and] says he is a Zionist.

The protocol plan aims at world control through world destruction [and] Barney is in the open as world ruler. Ike owes allegiance to those who financed his election. He knows little or nothing about civil government. Barney will hand out orders in the shape of advice and the final crash will come when Zionist dominated east and west will clash. The protocols say we must have anti-Semitism even if we sacrifice some of our own people. So they will sacrifice Jews, Christians and Mohammedans to attain their own end.

Stephen Nienoff's irregularly published hate-sheet, The American Commentator (Nov. 25) likewise expressed concern over the "forces . . . with which Ike seems [to be] in alliance. . . ." Charging that "The Zionists which form the Invisible Government are known to wreck every nation they enter," the Commentator stated that:

Ike was their candidate, and if Bernard Baruch—the Prince of Zionists—engineered his election and voted for him the sad truth is that he will help with their plans. . . . All through Ike's pre-election campaign . . . he pledged allegiance to the traitors in our midst. . . . He didn't care what the millions of Americans prayed for, hoped for, and voted for. He was afraid that he might in some way offend or double-cross Bernard Baruch.

The West Coast bigot, Robert H. Williams, writing in the November issue of his Williams Intelligence Summary, similarly voiced alarm at the possibility that President Eisenhower might be unduly influenced by his "Jewish" advisors. Speculated Williams:

Will President Eisenhower heed the warning of the overwhelming anti-New Deal vote? . . .

Will he listen to his friend and campaign supporter, Bernard Baruch . . . ?

Will he listen to his campaign backer Felix Frankfurter, who has built inside the government agencies a powerful Marxist machine . . . ?

Will he listen to the trio of Jewish nationalists, Frankfurter, Sen. Herbert Lehman and Henry Morgenthau, Jr., described . . . as constituting 'the secret government of the United States'?

Or will Eisenhower take a closer look at the nature of the protest vote which put him in the White House and restore a measure of Anglo-Saxon integrity and independence to the Administration?

Williams urged his readers not to take any chances:

In view of his [Eisenhower's] repeated avowals of his love for FEPC and his condemnation of Americans who oppose Zionist domination it seems evident that we shall have to battle every step of the way to preserve the freedom and independence of the white man against the organized minorities.

The discredited "news analyst" Upon Close has also viewed the new Administration with a jaundiced eye. In the November 17 issue of his Closer-Up newsletter he congratulated the voters who "turned out of office the collection of trough-feeding morons and bloody conspirators that manner the Marx-worshipping New Deal," but felt that a note of caution was in order:

. . . Since November 4 the President-elect has been surrounded almost solely by men whom constitutional Americans cannot trust. Foreshadowed Cabinet appointments offend them. . . . Influences which enslaved Truman are reaching out for Eisenhower [including] the hypocritical Jewish political gang that has corrupted American politics. . . .

Later, in a speech at Minneapolis on January 23, Close made some additional comments on the political situation. Hailing President Eisenhower as "a truly God-fearing man who wants to serve his country," Close warned that "Ike has fallen under the influence of international financiers interested only in protecting their world-wide holdings," and added that the new Congress might be torn apart by the "tolerance racketeers who have made vile and vicious attacks on me. . . ."

The new Administration came under fresh attack in the February 3 issue of his Closer-Up. Said Close:

. . . The President had declared drastic pursuit of New Deal social policy [and thus] put both feet into the Marxist trap. . . . In Eisenhower's mistaken labeling of the McCarran-Walters Immigration Act as 'unfair' . . . our new President backs the fanatic pressure groups out to change the economy, philosophy of government and texture of our nation. . . .

Ike seems unwilling to let Congress take him 'off the hook' in the immigration and FEPC matters. He is under the same sanctimonious pressures and political obligations that forced Truman. This is painted as a Christian American cause, backed by Tolerance and Civil Rights organizations, which the world is watching as test of our sincerity. . . .

While most of the attacks so far have been directed against President Eisenhower, Vice President Nixon is beginning to come in for his share of "criticism." In its February 9 issue The Broom ran an article, "Nixon and the Jews," which stated that:

It is well known here that Little Dick from Whittier who is now rattling about in a Vice Presidential chair that is much too big for him, was put there by the connivance of the Jewish cabal . . . because he was small and weak man who could easily be wheedled and otherwise influenced to play the Jewish game. This is clearly shown in his voting and talking record in the House of Representatives and later in the Senate. . . .

Further evidence that Nixon is little better than a Jew-kissing sentimentalist appears in the fact that he was the chief guest and speaker here the other night to honor the Jew contingent in the present Congress. He expressed great concern over the outbreak of anti-Jewism in Russia and the satellite communistic countries.

# the facts

Published monthly by the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, 212 Fifth Avenue, New York 10, N. Y. Officers: Henry Edward Schultz, chairman; Meier Steinbrink, honorary chairman; Barney Balaban, A. G. Ballenger, Phillip W. Haberman, A. C. Horn, Herbert H. Lehman, Leon Lowenstein, honorary vice-chairmen; Philip Klutznick, Jefferson E. Peyser, Edmund Waterman, vice-chairmen; Richard E. Gutstadt, executive vice-chairman; Jacob Alson, treasurer; Benjamin R. Epstein, national director; Jacob Grumet, chairman Civil Rights Division. Editor: Arnold Forster, National Civil Rights Director. Associate Editor: Arnold L. Scheuer.

## THE "THIRD PARTY" VOTE

**D**URING the 1952 political campaign, the nation's hate-mongers and professional anti-Semites made one of their strongest bids for public support in recent years. In addition to making frontal assaults on the structure of American Democracy and launching such scurrilous "smear" campaigns as that waged against Dwight D. Eisenhower, a number of "Third Party" movements, instigated by professional bigots were active on the political scene.<sup>(2)</sup>

Besides Gerald Smith's perennial Christian Nationalist Party two new groups appeared—The Constitution Party, sponsored by rabble rousers Upton Close, George T. Foster, W. Henry MacFarland Jr., and Kenneth Goff and the America First Party "founded" by the Chicago Nationalist, Lar Daly.

In the hope of gaining a modicum of respectability for their cause, all three groups "nominated" General Douglas MacArthur to head their "national" tickets, although the General gave no consent for the use of his name. California state senator Jack B. Tenney willingly accepted the number two spot on Smith's slate while Vivian Kellems was named as vice-presidential candidate by the "Constitutionalists," and Senator Harry Byrd (D, Va.) was the General's involuntary running mate on the "America First" ticket. (In the closing weeks of the campaign, the America First Party came out in support of General Eisenhower.)

Despite Smith's boast that MacArthur would carry "twenty-two states" and similarly expansive claims put forth by "The Constitution Party," the Nationalists secured less than 18,000 of the sixty million votes cast in the November election.

The following is the official tabulation of the MacArthur vote garnered by the three Nationalist parties in those states in which they were successful in getting their tickets on the ballot:

	Constitution Party	Christian Nationalist	America First
Arkansas .....		169	.....
California .....	178	3,326	.....
Colorado .....	2,181		.....
Missouri .....		302	233
New Mexico .....		220	.....
North Dakota .....	751		.....
Tennessee .....		379	.....
Texas .....	730	833	.....
Washington .....		7,290	.....
	3,840	13,519	233

(It is probable that MacArthur received a few additional votes in some states—such as New York—where write-ins were permitted. But the results were reported under the heading of "scattered votes" and not tabulated according to individual candidates.)

## FRANK L. BRITTON

**T**HE latest and youngest addition to the crop of West Coast professional bigots is Frank L. Britton, 32-year-old Hawthorne, California, anti-Semitic pamphleteer who has recently emerged as editor and publisher of the newly launched hate-sheet, The American Nationalist.

Britton's "career" began inconspicuously in 1950, when, while still a student at the University of Southern California, he distributed anti-Semitic leaflets which won him praise from the notorious Wesley Swift, who made him his "protege."

The following year the fledgling bigot gained additional notoriety with the publication of a viciously anti-Semitic, 23-page pamphlet, Atom Treason. Dedicated to "the magnificent Gerald L. K. Smith," Britton's booklet charged that Communism was Jewish; that Jewish scientists supplied Russia with atomic secrets and that the "Jew ridden" Atomic Energy Commission had sabotaged this country's H-bomb program.

Britton's maiden effort was widely hailed by the nation's hate-press. Particularly enthusiastic was Gerald Smith, who became its principal distributor. (According to Smith's financial report for 1951, filed with the Clerk of the House of Representatives, his Christian Nationalist Crusade paid Britton \$924.62 for literature, an amount which would roughly cover the cost of approximately ten thousand copies of Britton's pamphlet.)

Following the appearance of Atom Treason, Britton for a time continued to be closely identified with Smith. His second pamphlet, Hollywood Treason, which attacked "Jew-Communists" in the movie capital, was distributed by Smith's organization and on a number of occasions Britton appeared at Christian Nationalist meetings at Los Angeles' Embassy Auditorium, once sharing the platform with Smith himself.

In the summer of 1952 Britton came up with a new anti-Semitic "expose"—a 96-page booklet, Behind Communism, which the nation's bigots promptly began peddling for \$1.00 per copy. Touted as a "faithfully documented . . . unique history of Communism . . . from its very beginning down to the year 1952," Britton's "amazing book" turned out to be just another pseudo-historical effort to "prove" that communism, Zionism and Judaism are essentially the same.<sup>(3)</sup>

For a few months Britton rested on his laurels. Then, in September, 1952, he made a short-lived attempt to form his own "patriotic movement," by organizing a group which he called "The New Confederates." An invitation urging "every Christian Patriot" to attend "a special meeting" of his "great new organization" aimed at combatting the "Jew Marxist . . . program of world conquest," appeared on the reverse side of a vicious "Buy Gentile" flyer which Britton distributed in the Los Angeles area.

About 200 people showed up at the Embassy Hotel's Convention Hall on the night of September 25 and were subjected to a typical anti-Semitic harrangue by the self-styled leader of The New Confederates who charged that "immigrant Jew-Communists" were responsible for all the nation's ills; that "Jew agitators" were exploiting the American Negro, and that most Communists are and have been Jews.

Despite impassioned pleas for support, very little money was collected at the meeting and Britton's "New Confederates" died aborning, but the young bigot has other irons in the fire. Early in December, 1952, the first issue of his slick, new, four-page "fortnightly" publication, The American Nationalist, appeared and was mailed out gratis to a select list of "patriotic" friends who were offered the opportunity of subscribing to it for three dollars a year.

Although expensively printed in four colors on high quality slick paper and better written than most of its competitors, The Nationalist emerged as just another hate-sheet devoted to attacking Jews, Negroes and other minority groups.

(2) See The Facts, September, 1952.  
(3) See The Facts, February, 1953.



From the outset Britton made it clear that his principal concern was "the Jewish problem." "Jews Sabotage McCarran Act—Seek Repeal Because Bill Bars Red Subversives" was the headline for his lead story in the first issue (Dec. 10). "Reds and Zionists in Tribal Row—'Anti-Semitic Purge' Seen as Row Between . . . Jews in Bitter Family Quarrel"; "Jews Use 'Tolerance' Propaganda to Corrupt American Patriotism," and "Israel Blackmails Helpless Germans Through Reparations" were some other typical Nationalist "heads."

In addition to attacking Jews in its "news" stories, The Nationalist has provided its readers with a series of vicious "exposes" to illustrate how Jewish "control" of the amusement industry has "Judaized" American culture.

In an article headed "Alien Jews Monopolize U.S. Airwaves" (Dec. 25) Britton, charging that "The American radio and television industry is exclusively a Jewish monopoly," asserted that:

**We have permitted a small, alien, anti-Christian minority to seize control of our entire radio industry. We have permitted these alien Jews, with a tradition of hostility towards Christian civilization and close affinity for Communism, to become lords and masters of our airwaves. . . .**

Warning that the situation was "serious," Britton added:

**Sitting astride our media of communications as they do, the Jews are in an unparalleled position to insert their own brand of propaganda into radio and TV programs virtually at will. Invariably this consists of 'tolerance' propaganda . . . intended to make the white majority feel guilty for its 'bigotry' . . . [and inflame] Jews and other minorities with hatred against their 'persecutors'—meaning the white Christian majority. . . .**

**The Jewishness of our radio-television industry . . . assumes particular significance at election time, because it is obvious that no candidate offensive to Jewry will ever have free access to radio or TV facilities. . . .**

Two weeks later (Jan. 10) Britton analyzed the current situation in the American Theatre and concluded that it, too, had been "taken over by invading Jews" who see to it "that no play contrary to their philosophy is ever produced."

Subsequently (Jan. 25) The Nationalist examined conditions in the world of music. In an article headed "Russian Jews Favored by RCA Victor," Britton reported the "shame-

ful fact that the Jewish owners of America's recording industry have virtually [frozen] out gentile American musicians."

According to The Nationalist's editor, the greatest offender in this regard has been the "Jew-owned RCA Victor Recording Co.," a subsidiary of the Radio Corporation of America "whose head is the Russian Jew, David Sarnoff." Of the thirty-six musicians featured by Victor, Britton pointed out, "seventeen are Jews and only eight are white gentile Americans," while "every last one" of its leading violinists is a "foreign-born Jew."

Bemoaning "these lopsided ratios" Britton concluded that:

**. . . so long as Jewish merchants control our musical markets, then so long will Jew musicians continue to get the 'breaks'. That is why the majority of the Jew-owned RCA Victor's musical recording artists are Jewish, and that is why Jews dominate American music today.**

To date, four issues of The American Nationalist have appeared, and while Britton must have had substantial financial backing to launch his new publication, he has already begun to plead poverty. A "personal" letter accompanying the January 10 issue, reminded his "friends" that the Nationalist "is not published for profit" and urged those who had not yet sent in their subscriptions to do so immediately.

Two weeks later "friends and supporters" received another "personal and urgent" appeal for help "in carrying the load." Calling the need for financial aid "absolutely critical" because "we have stretched not only our resources, but ourselves, almost beyond capacity," Britton confessed that "we have reached a crucial stage in our activities," and enclosed a self-addressed, stamped envelope for contributions to enable him "to carry on the Cause."

While The Nationalist is undoubtedly a costly publication, periodic protestations of financial distress are part of the professional bigot's standard fund-raising technique, and Britton's urgent appeals for funds (strongly reminiscent of Gerald Smith's methods) must be judged accordingly. Meanwhile The American Nationalist remains a significant addition to the roster of the Nation's hate press and the activities of its editor will bear close watching in the months ahead.

ph-105-1749-1A

33257

File No. 100-~~1749~~-1A

Date Received 1-3-57

From Security Unit Gold  
(Name of contributor)

SE. (Address of contributor)

By [redacted]  
(Name of special Agent)

To Be Returned Yes ( )  
No (X)

Description:

1 Photo of [redacted]  
[redacted]

100-33257

See Index  
as photo

[redacted]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 10-31-2005 BY 60309 AUC TAM/NLT/STW

b6  
b7C



THE

# Dan Smoot Report



DAN SMOOT

Vol. 9, No. 7 (Broadcast 392) February 18, 1963 Dallas, Texas

## ALLIANCE FOR PROGRESS—PART I

Alliance for Progress—the current program of United States aid to Latin American nations—was initiated by Eisenhower; but it has become the major feature of President Kennedy's foreign policy.

The President claims that Alliance for Progress is intended to save Latin America from communism. Actually, the program is using American tax money to finance, and force upon Latin American countries, a communist-socialist revolution.

Kennedy has never given any precise estimate of what he expects to spend on Alliance for Progress; but general estimates are that the program will cost United States taxpayers 20 billion dollars in the first ten years. <sup>(1)</sup>

### Origins

The origins of the Alliance for Progress program are almost as unsavory as its consequences.

On July 13, 1960, Fernando Berckemeyer (Peruvian Ambassador to the United States) and Roy R. Rubottom, Jr. (then Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs) discussed in Washington a suggestion by the Peruvian government that foreign ministers of all 21 American republics meet to discuss the Soviet threat in the Americas.

A committee composed of representatives from seven Central and South American nations went to work on the Peruvian suggestion. The committee's biggest job was deciding upon an agenda and a meeting place that would be acceptable to Castro of Cuba! On July 26, 1960, the committee announced it had solved this major problem: the foreign ministers' conference of the Organization of American States could meet at San Jose, Costa Rica; and it would consider as its first order of business, not communist control of Cuba or

THE DAN SMOOT REPORT, a magazine published every week by The Dan Smoot Report, Inc., mailing address P. O. Box 9538, Lakewood Station, Dallas 14, Texas, Telephone TAYlor 1-2303 (Office Address 6441 Gaston Avenue). Subscription rates: \$10.00 a year, \$6.00 for 6 months, \$18.00 for two years. For first class mail \$12.50 a year; by airmail (including APO and FPO) \$14.50 a year. Reprints of specific issues: 1 copy for 25¢; 6 for \$1.00; 50 for \$5.50; 100 for \$10.00—each price for bulk mailing to one person. Add 2% sales tax on all orders originating in Texas for Texas delivery.

Copyright by Dan Smoot, 1963. Second class mail privilege authorized at Dallas, Texas.

No reproductions permitted.

communist infiltration of Latin America, but charges against General Rafael Trujillo of the Dominican Republic. The government of Venezuela had accused Trujillo of plotting to assassinate Romulo Betancourt, President of Venezuela.

On August 16, 1960, foreign ministers representing 21 American nations, began their conference at San Jose.

Christian Herter, Eisenhower's Secretary of State, took the lead in getting the Organization of American States to take action against the Dominican Republic.

On August 20, 1960, the Conference adopted a formal resolution recommending that all other American nations break diplomatic relations with the Dominican Republic and impose severe economic sanctions against her.

On August 22, 1960, Christian Herter initiated the second stage of the OAS meeting at San Jose, Costa Rica, by presenting a United States bill of particulars against Cuba.

On August 23, 1960, Julio Cesar Turbay Ayala (Colombian foreign minister) answered Herter's charges against Castro. Turbay reaffirmed his faith in the principles of Castro's "revolution" and denied that Castro was actually a communist. Turbay reviewed, "with interest," Castro's charges against the United States — and deplored the United States' "sugar restrictions" against Cuba (that is, our cutting off the sugar subsidy).

On August 29, 1960, the foreign ministers proclaimed the "Declaration of San Jose," written by Turbay of Colombia, in which the members of the Organization of American States pledged themselves to "democratic principles"; to the rejection of totalitarian regimes of "the right or left"; to non-intervention in each others' affairs; and to support of the Organization of American States. The declaration did not even mention Cuba.

Thus the San Jose Conference of the Organization of American States — originally proposed by Peru for the purpose of discussing

the Soviet threat in Cuba — achieved the destruction of Trujillo, America's only strong anti-communist friend in the Caribbean area; but did not even scold Castro.

Christian Herter came home from San Jose, boasting that the United States had achieved a great diplomatic triumph!

The "first stage" of the OAS Conference of 1960 was completed at San Jose on August 20, when the delegates voted to isolate Trujillo of the Dominican Republic. The "second stage" was completed on August 29, when the Declaration of San Jose was adopted.

The "third stage" of the Conference was conducted not at San Jose, Costa Rica, but at Bogota, Colombia. The "third stage," beginning on September 6, 1960, was a meeting of the "economic" ministers of the American republics. This Bogota stage of the OAS Conference was, simply, our State Department's pay-off to the Central and South American republics for what they had done at San Jose.

Douglas Dillon (then Eisenhower's Under Secretary of State) went to the Bogota Conference with an Eisenhower promise of 500 million dollars of American tax money to be given Latin American nations.

Dillon began his speech to the Conference by paying tribute to the role which Turbay of Colombia had played at the San Jose Conference. Remember, Turbay wrote the Declaration of San Jose, which turned out to be a victory for international communism. Turbay had praised the principles of Castro's revolution; he had viewed, "with interest," Castro's charges against the United States; and he had denounced the United States' "sugar restrictions" against Castro.

Before Dillon went to Bogota, the whole world knew that Eisenhower was sending him with a promise of 500 million dollars in aid to Latin America. But there had been grumbling throughout Latin America that this was not enough. Castro was demanding that the United States inaugurate a 30 billion dollar aid program to Latin America. Brazil, and

most other Latin American nations, were supporting the general tenor of Castro's demands, though the figures they named were somewhat less extravagant.

It looked as if our Latin American friends might not *graciously* accept Eisenhower's offer of 500 million dollars.

Douglas Dillon was prepared. He made it clear that the 500 million dollars which he had come to offer was a mere drop in the bucket — just a beginning. All of this was to be used only for "social development." He promised bigger and more respectable United States aid to all of Latin America to continue "economic development" in that region.

"Social development," apparently, means schools, housing, hospitals — that sort of thing — to be built with money taken away from United States taxpayers. "Economic development" seems to mean the continuation of building, with United States tax money, roads, harbors, industrial plants (to compete with our own, of course), public buildings, and so on.

Douglas Dillon won another smashing "diplomatic victory" for the United States at Bogota: the Latin American republics agreed to accept our offer of 500 million dollars for "social development," and our promise of billions for "economic development"!

On September 13, 1960, the economic ministers of the American republics signed the "Act of Bogota," "authorizing" a massive program of United States aid to Latin American countries.

In his first inaugural address (January 20, 1961) President Kennedy gave this new aid-to-Latin-America program its current name: *Alliance for Progress*. President Kennedy said:

"To our sister republics south of our border, we offer a special pledge — to convert our good words into good deeds — in a new alliance for progress — to assist free men and free governments in casting off the chains of poverty."

## Revolutionary Purpose

On March 13, 1961, speaking to Latin American diplomats, and members of the U. S. Congress, President Kennedy said:

"I have called on all the people of the Hemisphere to join in a new *Alliance for Progress*. . . . I have this evening signed a request to the Congress for \$500 million as a first step in fulfilling the Act of Bogota. This is the first large-scale inter-American effort — instituted by my predecessor, President Eisenhower — to attack the social barriers which block economic progress. . . .

"This political freedom must be accompanied by social change. For unless necessary social reforms, including land and tax reforms, are freely made . . . then our alliance, our revolution, our dream, and our freedom will fail. . . ." <sup>(2)</sup>

In his formal message to Congress (March 14, 1961) asking for the 500 million dollars to initiate the "Alliance for Progress" (as "authorized" by the Act of Bogota) President Kennedy again stressed the revolutionary purpose of this program. Acknowledging that "revolutionary new social institutions and patterns cannot be designed overnight," President Kennedy implied that we must nonetheless begin at once a crash program to overturn the existing way of life in Latin America — giving our aid not on the basis of need, but "on the demonstrated readiness of each government to make the institutional improvements" which we require.

This theme of total revolution in Latin America, financed and enforced by United States tax money under the label of "Alliance for Progress," was re-emphasized at a Special Meeting of the Inter-American Economic and Social Council (held at Punta del Este, Uruguay) on August 16, 1961. In the formal declaration issued by the delegates at this meeting, the Latin American nations receiving American aid pledged themselves:

"To encourage . . . programs of integral agrarian reform. . . .

"To assure to workers fair wages and satisfactory working conditions;



"To establish effective systems of labor-management relations and procedures. . . .

"To reform tax laws, demanding more from those who have most, punishing tax evasion severely, and redistributing the national income. . . ." (3)

## The Revolutionists

On November 6, 1961, President Kennedy announced appointment of Teodoro Moscoso as Regional Administrator for Latin America in the new Agency For International Development — that is, as head of the Alliance for Progress program. Moscoso is a Puerto Rican socialist, an early protege of Rexford Guy Tugwell, the "braintruster" whom Franklin D. Roosevelt made Governor of Puerto Rico. Moscoso is also an intimate friend of Romulo Betancourt, President of Venezuela, who is a communist.

To understand the grim truth — that Alliance for Progress is a crash program with American tax money to tear Latin America apart and then reorganize it according to the communist plan for a "marxist" land — one needs a little background information on some of the principal actors in this revolutionary drama. At present, two of the principals are Teodoro Moscoso, Kennedy's Chief of the Alliance for Progress program; and Romulo Betancourt, communist President of Venezuela.

Former United States Representative John Roussette (Republican, California) did a great deal of research on Betancourt, and put the results of his labors into the *Congressional Record*: September 23, 1961, pp. 19674 ff.; February 26, 1962, pp. 2703 ff.; July 11, 1962, pp. 12288 ff.

U. S. Representative William C. Cramer (Republican, Florida) put into the *Congressional Record* of October 13, 1962 (pp. A7702-3), and February 7, 1963 (pp. 1870 ff.), more revealing information about Betancourt.

Here, in brief, is the Betancourt story: As a young man, Betancourt worked openly as a functionary of the communist interna-

tional in Venezuela. For this communist activity, he was exiled from his homeland in 1928. During exile, Betancourt went to Costa Rica where, with Manuel Mora, he founded the communist party of Costa Rica and was, for five years (1930-1935) head of that party. Working openly for a communist revolution throughout Latin America, Betancourt discovered that communism could not thus be sold to the people. It would have to be imposed upon them by deception. Betancourt devised a hoax. He would "renounce" his membership in the communist party and return to Venezuela as an "anti-communist," and work to impose communism on his homeland by calling his program "anti-communist."

He did return to Venezuela, gathered his old communist cronies around him, and launched his new communist program of deception. He had made the mistake, however, of explaining his scheme in letters to communist friends. These letters fell into the hands of Venezuelan authorities; and Betancourt was again ordered into exile. He evaded this banishment and went underground. For 9 years he remained in hiding. During that time, he organized a powerful communist front disguised as a political party, which he called Accion Democratica ("Democratic Action").

In 1945, a military junta overthrew the legal government of Venezuela, and turned to Betancourt for leadership. Betancourt became president of the junta. Using the established political machinery of Accion Democratica, and appointing communist henchmen to key posts in government, Betancourt ruled Venezuela for three years.

His rule was so corrupt and tyrannical that on November 24, 1948, an uprising of military officers, led by Marcos Perez Jimenez, overthrew Betancourt and his stooges; and Betancourt again went into exile.

For over nine years, Betancourt remained in exile. He spent much of that time in New York City, where he became the darling of the ultra-liberal, anti-anti-communist crowd.

of socialist intellectuals — a hero to the leading liberals of the Eisenhower, and later of the Kennedy, administration.

In 1956, Betancourt's communist connections became so objectionable that he was arrested in New York City (on information supplied by the FBI), was expelled from the United States, and denied readmittance. He was, however, permitted to live in Puerto Rico. Here, he became an intimate of Munoz-Marin, socialist governor of Puerto Rico, and of Teodoro Moscoso. <sup>(4)</sup>

Leftist groups in Venezuela (widely believed to have been directed and encouraged by Betancourt's new friends in the American State Department) overthrew Marcos Perez Jimenez in January, 1958. About June, 1958, Betancourt returned to Venezuela, revived his Accion Democratica, and ran for President. Again with the undercover support of the American State Department (which included widely circulated rumors, in Venezuela, that if Betancourt's party won the election, Venezuela would receive mammoth amounts of aid from the United States), Betancourt was elected.

Jimenez (who had given Venezuela the best government and had brought the nation to the highest level of prosperity in its history) was exiled. He sought asylum in the United States. Betancourt wants him returned to Venezuela so that he can be executed. He has filed outrageous charges against Jimenez, who is now in a Miami jail awaiting extradition. The American State Department and the powerful leftwing propaganda forces in the United States (including, for example, such "respected" organs as *The Christian Science Monitor*) have for months been conducting a massive hate - campaign against Jimenez, preparing public opinion for denying him asylum so that he can be turned over to Betancourt for liquidation.

In 1961, the law firm of Dean Acheson (who is a Special Adviser to President Kennedy) received \$180,000 from Betancourt's government as a fee for representing Vene-

zuela in the extradition proceedings against Jimenez. <sup>(4)</sup>

## Alliance Showpiece

Meanwhile, Betancourt's regime in Venezuela has become a cesspool of corruption and tyranny. Despite Venezuela's enormous natural riches (and despite the hundreds of millions of dollars which Betancourt has obtained from the United States), grinding poverty, economic chaos, and wild disorder reign in Venezuela.

The reported "communist" sabotage in Venezuela, and Betancourt's alleged "crack-down" on communists, are merely part of a hoax — to justify Betancourt's seizure of absolute power, and to help the Kennedy Administration justify more aid.

Communist Betancourt's Venezuela is the land which the Kennedy Administration points to as the "showpiece of the Alliance for Progress." <sup>(5)</sup>

Shortly after Kennedy was inaugurated in January, 1961, he appointed Teodoro Moscoso (Betancourt's old friend) to be Ambassador to Venezuela. In November, 1961, Kennedy promoted Moscoso to the post of Chief of the Alliance for Progress. In December, 1961, when it became apparent that Betancourt, despite his support from Washington, was in deep trouble in Venezuela, President and Mrs. Kennedy made a hastily planned visit to him, to help shore up his sagging regime. Here is an account of this disgraceful episode in American history, in the words of U. S. Representative John Rousselot (*Congressional Record*, February 26, 1962, p. 2703):

"President Kennedy's trip to Venezuela was what was needed to bolster Betancourt's sinking Accion Democratica government. To the eternal humiliation of the American people, President Kennedy permitted himself to be used in this desperate Betancourt personal political maneuver. He permitted himself to be paraded through the streets of Caracas to impress upon the discontented Venezuelan populace that the United States is backing Betancourt. Before he departed, he was per-

suaded to deliver a series of speeches, obviously prepared for him by his collectivist aides, hailing the alleged progress of Venezuela under Betancourt and pledging generous American loans."

Mr. Rousselot's words do not adequately portray the shameful behavior of the American President while visiting communist Betancourt.

President and Mrs. Kennedy arrived in Venezuela on December 16, 1961. In his welcoming speech, Betancourt (while praising Kennedy personally) insulted the United States with open arrogance. Betancourt praised Kennedy as a "United States President who is rectifying a long period of ignorance and lack of comprehension [in the United States]." Betancourt denounced the "arrogant belief [in the United States] that the friendship... [of Latin America] was guaranteed to the United States by the self-appointed rulers and their courts of small oligarchies." Betancourt criticized the "bad habits of bureaucratic routine" in the United States, which had slowed down the flow of United States aid to Latin America, and demanded speed in "satisfying" the economic, social and cultural under-development of Latin America.

President Kennedy answered these insults to the United States by saying:

"Your distinguished President Romulo Betancourt, is demonstrating the capacity of free men to realize their aspirations without sacrificing liberty or dignity.

"He has re-established democratic government after a decade of dictatorship — and he has carried forward a solid and responsible program of economic progress....

"I come [to Venezuela] to take counsel with your leaders... to witness the magnificent example of vital democracy which is being carried forward in Venezuela...."

In another speech at La Morita, on December 17, President Kennedy said:

"Here in Venezuela the meaning of the new Alianza para el Progreso is being demonstrated, for you have made a tradition and transition from depressive dictatorship into a free life for the people of this country to progressive democratic rule under the grant

of the great democratic statesman of the Western Hemisphere — your distinguished President Romulo Betancourt....

"Today eighty-six families will receive their titles to their own homes under a program which has already settled 38,000 families on 3,800,000 acres of land.

"This is your program — the program of your progressive far-seeing Government — and the people of my country will share in this program by making available more loans to build rural homes and more credits to finance your crops.

"This program is at the heart of the Alianza para el Progreso."<sup>(6)</sup>

## Agrarian Reforms

It is rumored in Venezuela that farmers who want to get a piece of land under Betancourt's "agrarian reform" must kick back 10% of the value to Betancourt's political party (Accion Democratica). But even if we ignore the graft (at our expense) what do we find, on close examination, in the "agrarian reform" which President Kennedy praises extravagantly, and which he says is the heart of our Alliance for Progress program for all of Latin America? Here is what U. S. Representative Rousselot found:

"The United States is the greatest example that could be cited of a nation which has enriched itself through a constructive agrarian program. Our program was inaugurated with the Homestead Act after the Civil War.

"Through this Act, vast stretches of rich virgin land in the West were brought into cultivation. The Act did not propose to take over the productive farms already in operation in New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, and cut them up into small uneconomic holdings.... Instead, it directed the new farmers to uncultivated land on the frontier, which increased rather than decreased the Nation's crop production.

"Does Betancourt propose to do this in Venezuela with the aid of the agrarian reform millions which President Kennedy promised him? No indeed. Venezuela has enormous stretches of uncultivated and good government-owned land in the provinces of Sucre, Monaga, Ansoategui, and Bolivar. They are served by convenient transportation facilities.



For the more distant future, it has the empire sweep of the vast area beyond the Orinoco.

"Did Betancourt propose an agrarian plan, like that of the United States, which would open up this abundant government-owned land through an orderly, wealth-producing program? He did not.

"Instead, he launched a program to buy up land already in successful cultivation and cut it up into small, uneconomic plots. These plots were mostly near Caracas where they could be used as showcase exhibits for credulous visitors. President Kennedy was taken to one of these cut-up farms and induced to make a speech enthusiastically hailing the Betancourt land program, and promising far-reaching aid."<sup>(7)</sup>

The "agrarian" and other "social" reforms which our socialist planners are devising, and financing with our money, throughout Latin America, have sinister aspects.

A Mexican businessman, deeply disturbed about our Alliance for Progress program, sent me the following account of what is happening:

"In many Latin American countries the vast majority of the land areas taken away from the large land owners is reportedly divided up among peons or poor rural peasants. This sounds lovely to the people who read it and especially attractive to the American people who through their government

and government banks finance with loans and grants these so-called agrarian reforms which appear to give the land to the peasants.

"However almost the exact reverse is the case. Most of the land taken away from the large land owners is *not* given to the peons or peasants, but the ownership is kept by the governments and only assigned year by year to the peons. Thereby the former landowner is replaced by a much bigger landowner whose local representative is a political appointee who is able to assign a small piece of land each year to each peon or refuse it to him or change him to another piece as he (the political appointee) sees fit.

"The result is, in practice, that the peon has lost his old patron, who in many cases was a humane sort of person, and generally lived on the property at least a part of the year, and whose ear could be reached by the peon, as those who have lived in Latin America in the past can testify. In exchange he has a new patron who is a 'faceless, cold, impersonal' government office represented by a frequently changing political appointee who has no direct interest in the productivity of the soil and frequently is principally interested in enriching himself while he holds on to his insecure job.

"The peon does not own any land, he has no feeling of ownership, does not know how long he may be permitted to work the same plot and hence can have no interest in improving the land, and does feel totally dependent

DAN SMOOT,  
P. O. Box 9538, Lakewood Station  
Dallas 14, Texas

☐ Renewal ☐ New Subscription

Please enter my subscription for ( \_\_\_\_\_ years) ( \_\_\_\_\_ months) to THE DAN SMOOT REPORT. I enclose \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES**

(Add 2% Sales tax if ordered  
in Texas for Texas delivery)

Rates: \$18 for 2 years  
\$10 for 1 year  
\$ 6 for six months  
\$12.50, first class mail  
for 1 year  
\$14.50, air mail  
for 1 year

Inquire for foreign mailing  
including Canada

PRINT NAME

STREET ADDRESS

CITY, ZONE AND STATE

on the whim of the politicians, which is just what the communists desire and in this way attain. All the land belongs to the government.

"The same is true of most of the government *housing* schemes. The houses are not sold to the people but are rented to them. All the houses belong to the government and this added to the government control of transportation, telegraphs, movies and the press, is perfect preparation for the establishment of the totalitarian state or Communism. Government intervention is creeping forward all the time, and the money which the Alliance for Progress gives to these leftist governments only speeds up the march down to Socialism and eventually Communism.

"American citizens should give as much importance to stopping the socialistic policies of the Alliance for Progress as they do to impeding socialistic legislation in their own country. United States tax-payers have already spent many hundreds of millions of dollars on the Alliance for Progress, in promoting policies which are absolutely against the best interests of the Latin American countries concerned, against their economic and political freedom, and against the best interests of the United States into the bargain."

## Part II

Next week, we will give more details on Alliance for Progress.

## WHO IS DAN SMOOT?

Dan Smoot was born in Missouri. Reared in Texas, he attended SMU in Dallas, taking BA and MA degrees from that university in 1938 and 1940.

In 1941, he joined the faculty at Harvard as a Teaching Fellow in English, doing graduate work for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the field of American Civilization.

In 1942, he took leave of absence from Harvard in order to join the FBI. At the close of the war, he stayed in the FBI, rather than return to Harvard.

He worked as an FBI Agent in all parts of the nation, handling all kinds of assignments. But for three and a half years, he worked exclusively on communist investigations in the industrial midwest. For two years following that, he was on FBI headquarters staff in Washington, as an Administrative Assistant to J. Edgar Hoover.

After nine and a half years in the FBI, Smoot resigned to help start the Facts Forum movement in Dallas. As the radio and television commentator for Facts Forum, Smoot, for almost four years spoke to a national audience giving both sides of great controversial issues.

In July, 1955, he resigned and started his own independent program, in order to give only one side — the side that uses fundamental American principles as a yardstick for measuring all important issues.

If you believe that Dan Smoot is providing effective tools for those who want to think and talk and write on the side of freedom, you can help immensely by subscribing, and encouraging others to subscribe, to *The Dan Smoot Report*.

## Bound Volume

The first, and only, printing of Bound Volume VIII of this *Report* (containing the 53 issues for 1962, with table of contents and extensive index) is off the press. All pre-publication orders have been filled; and we can now fill all orders within three days after receipt — as long as the limited supply lasts.

Price: \$10.00, postage prepaid within the United States, on a first-come, first-paid basis. We regret to announce that this is the last Bound Volume which we will be able to offer at this price. Bound Volume IX (for 1963, to be available early in 1964) will cost \$13.00.

We have sold out of all Bound Volumes for the years 1955 through 1961; but we still have a few copies of the 1961 index (for those who keep their *Reports* in binders): price, \$1.50. We also have a separate index for the 1962 *Reports*, at the same price — \$1.50.

## FOOTNOTES

- (1) *The New York Times Magazine*, December 17, 1961
- (2) "President Kennedy Speaks On The Alliance For Progress," a booklet published recently by the Agency for International Development, U. S. Dept. of State
- (3) Department of State Publication No. 572, August 16, 1961
- (4) *Congressional Record*, February 7, 1963, p. 1871, remarks of William C. Cramer
- (5) *Congressional Record*, October 13, 1962, pp. A7702-3, remarks of William C. Cramer
- (6) *The New York Times*, December 17, 1961, p. 37
- (7) *Congressional Record*, February 26, 1962, pp. 2706 ff.



LET US BELIEVE THAT RIGHT MAKES RIGHT Abraham Lincoln

CONSTITUTION-NEWS REVIEW

GIVE ME LIBERTY OR GIVE ME DEATH Patrick Henry

VOL-4- No.-7-

Speak to me not of Confessions but of facts bind them down from mischief with the chains of a Constitution

OCT.-3-1962

## THE BULWARK OF OUR LIBERTY THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES

★ "OUR SAFETY, OUR LIBERTY, DEPENDS UPON PRESERVING THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AS OUR FOUNDING FATHERS MADE IT, INVIOLENT. "THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES ARE THE RIGHTFUL MASTERS OF BOTH CONGRESS AND COURTS, NOT TO OVERTHROW THE CONSTITUTION, BUT TO OVERTHROW THE MEN WHO PERVERT THE CONSTITUTION." ★  
—Abraham Lincoln ★



## Constitution Party's of the United States



(Adopted in National convention at Indianapolis, Ind., April 20-24, 1960)



THERE IS A — DIFFERENCE

### PREAMBLE:

The Constitution Party of the United States of America, in the tradition of our founding fathers, requires our representatives at all levels of government to utilize every means to restore to us the full measure of vigor of that spirit of American freedom intended by the framers of the American Constitution with the Bill of Rights.

NO. 1 — PLANK: "THIS IS A CHRISTIAN NATION." U. S. SUPREME COURT 1897 (143US445)

II — STATE RIGHTS: Restore to the separate states and the individuals their sovereign rights and integrity guaranteed to them under the 9th and 10th Amendments to the Constitution. All powers reserved by the Federal Government, especially those in the field of health and education, must be restored to the states or to the people themselves.

III — TAXING AND SPENDING: Adopt suitable legislation to compel an annually balanced Federal budget and retirement of the national debt. Repeal the 16th Amendment and all Federal Income Tax laws.

IV — NATIONAL INDEPENDENCE: Provide absolute protection of the Constitution of the United States of America and its internal economy from all treaties and/or executive agreements, secret or otherwise.

V — ECONOMIC LIBERTY: Protect property rights of American citizens by removing all statutory obstacles to individual enterprises. Eliminate competitive government ownership or operation of any business, professional, commercial, financial, or industrial enterprise not specified in the Constitution.

VI — CHECKS AND BALANCES: Restore Constitutional checks and balances among the three branches of the Federal Government and positively prevent the alteration of the Constitution, or its intent and purposes, by judicial fiat or interpretation by any Supreme Court.

VII — FOREIGN POLICY: Withdraw the United States from the United Nations and its agencies, immediately. Restore respect for our Flag, and Constitutional protection of American Law and Justice to all members of our armed forces serving abroad. Discontinue economic aid to foreign nations. Suspend diplomatic, trade relations and exchange programs with Communist Russia, her satellites and Cuba. Return foreign trade to the control of Congress. Repeal reciprocal trade agreements which are destroying the products of American labor in favor of imports from foreign slave labor. Repeal General Agreements on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). Review the protective tariff structure so as to adequately protect American industry and labor.

VIII — JUSTICE UNDER LAW: Allow agriculture to resume its rightful place in the free marketplace without Federal Government regulation. Protect the constitutional rights of management and labor. Apply laws equally against all monopolies whether it be business, labor, agriculture, cooperatives, or other groups.

IX — IMMIGRATION: Restrict immigration to persons who profess belief in the principles by which this nation was founded. Due to the explosive population problem and the unemployment problem, we urge the suspension of immigration beyond the quotas of the McCarran-Walter Act.

X — PROPERTY RIGHTS AND MONEY: Apply the Constitutional provision whereby Congress has the sole power of issuing money and regulating the value thereof; restore the right of individuals to own precious metals, and substitute a constitutional monetary system in lieu of the Federal Reserve Act of December 23, 1913, and amendments.

XI — COMMUNISM: Believing that the continued existence in our Nation of an admitted "Fifth Column" organization pledged to support the revolutionary program of Soviet Russia rather than the Constitution of the United States of America constitutes a dangerous threat, we herewith call upon the Congress of the United States, to take whatever action is necessary to make membership in the Communist Party a crime against the Government of the United States.

XII — EDUCATION: Our schools must replace the teaching of Socialism and the Welfare State with the teaching and stressing of the Free Enterprise economic system and the integrity of the individual.

XIII — FREEDOM OF CHOICE: The fundamental law that all association can only be the product of mutual consent, again must be recognized. Force of any description which violates this principle is contrary to natural law.

## An Announcement of UNUSUAL SIGNIFICANCE

TUES. SEPT.-11-1962

AT THE

NOMINATING  
CONVENTION

of the  
Constitution Party  
WASHINGTON STATE



W. FRANK HORNE

WAS UNANIMOUSLY NOMINATED

For United States Senator

W. Frank Horne received his elementary and High School education in Wisconsin, where he was born on Feb. 12, 1888. He also studied one year of commercial law and three years of engineering under Professor Sheldon of The Milwaukee School of Engineering.

In the past he was connected with subsidiaries of The General Electric and Westinghouse Companies and The National Carbon & Carbide Company.

Horne was one of the original thirteen men who organized The National Association of Automotive Jobbers of the United States & Canada.

For thirteen years, beginning in 1937, he operated his own Engineering Sales Company in Seattle, exclusively representing such concerns as The J. H. Williams & Co. of Buffalo, N.Y.; The Beaver Pipe Tools Co. of Warren, Ohio; The Templeton-Kenly Co. of Chicago, Ill.; Mannheim Mfg. & Belding Co. of Mannheim, Penn.; and The Chicago Rawhide Co. of Chicago, Ill. The territory covered by Horne's Company was The States of Washington - Oregon - Idaho - Montana and Alaska.

Horne retired in 1950 - and then started up in the publishing business, and has ever since published the COMMUNITY CIVIC NEWS, and for the past four years has been Pres. and Treas. of The Keystone Adv. Corp. who also publish The CONSTITUTION NEWS REVIEW, which has paid subscribers in (24) states and Washington D.C.

"A VOTE FOR HORNE ON NOV. 6th WILL BE A VOTE FOR SENSIBLE CHRISTIAN GOVERNMENT AT WASHINGTON D.C. and ALL STATE & LOCAL LEVELS."

## THE Dan Smoot Report

September 21, 1962

Mr. W. Frank Horne  
National Committeeman  
Constitution Party of the  
State of Washington  
Room 5 - Tonkin Building  
Renton, Washington



Dear Mr. Horne

The little that I could contribute to the campaigns of constitutionalists this year has already been scattered, until it is all gone. I regret this, because the thirteen-point platform of the Constitution Parties is the only existing political party platform (to my knowledge) that makes sense.

Cordially

DS-do

*Dan Smoot*  
DAN SMOOT

P. O. Box 9538

Dallas 14, Texas



All strength is in union  
All danger is in discord

George Washington and Abraham Lincoln, our history books tell us, started out in life from the opposite ends of the social scale. One was born into Colonial aristocracy, the other into backwoods poverty; one was an imposing figure, the other somewhat homely and ungainly. And yet, today, we think of them as "belonging" together, sharing the same place in our national affections.

Is our feeling toward Washington and Lincoln based upon the fact that both achieved the Presidency of the United States? We hardly think so. It is because of the coincidence that February happens to be the birth month of both. Rather, we think it was the dream of freedom which these two Presidents shared which makes it entirely natural that they should be pictured together.



1789-1797  
GEORGE  
WASHINGTON  
1776-1864  
ABRAHAM  
LINCOLN  
1789-1797  
GEORGE  
WASHINGTON  
1776-1864  
ABRAHAM  
LINCOLN

ITS  
UP TO  
YOU

# FREEDOM or ECONOMIC SLAVERY

WHICH  
SHALL  
IT BE

CONSTITUTION  
PARTY of PENNA.

b6  
b7c

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 10-31-2005 BY 60309 AUC TAM/MLT/STW

You have doubtless seen the recent and cleverly advertised book entitled *What We Must Know About Communism* by Harry and Bonaro Overstreet. It is a strange book. I cannot take time to analyze it or to discuss the possible motives for the authors' silence about the most important parts of the vast web of subversion that extends from the humblest primary school to the highest court in our land. But I shall limit myself to matters that the Overstreets ignore or evade. And I can give only the most perfunctory summary of a few selected aspects of the terror that is upon us.

I first encountered Communists and their allies, the "liberal intellectuals," when I was a lad in college, and I then formed the impression of them that so many people of my generation still carry in their minds. The pro-Communists among my fellows were so childish and so ignorant! Many of them, to be sure, were glib enough in parroting the phrases and arguments they had heard or read, but they obviously belonged to the group that worked hard only to avoid hard intellectual work. They talked, and they seemed to believe, Marxism, a crude and absurd superstition that can be taken seriously by no one who has any knowledge of human history and Occidental culture.

There were also a few members of the faculty who were obviously pro-Communist—remember that this was years and years ago, and the college in which I was an undergraduate was not so progressive as others even at that time—a few members of the faculty, I say, but they were all teaching the "science" of "education" or some similar bunk that was obviously a fraud on the public and an insult to the intelligence of an educated man. My friends and I thought the Commies, young or old, very funny.

We had all heard, of course, of what had happened and was happening in Russia, but we did not know then what everyone knows now, that the Bolshevik butchery in Russia had been organized in the United States, financed from the United States, and carried out by a gang of 1700 disciplined assassins, most of whom came from New York City. And in any case Russia was a distant and barbarous country, and nothing could be more ludicrous than a suggestion that what had happened there could conceivably happen here.

I spent the war mostly in Washington, in the most secret department of the Army, and my first shock virtually coincided with the beginning of my work there. One of our basic maxims was this: "Remember that everything that is known

in the White House is known in the Kremlin." That was a shock at the time, although now, of course, everyone who has read at all about Communism knows that Roosevelt's assistant, Lashell Currie, a counterpart of the more recently infamous Sherman Adams, was a Communist agent and that he, with a trained corps of at least thirty traitors, presided over all the activities of the White House.

It was not until later that Harry Hopkins, the man who dispatched to Moscow all the plans for the atomic bomb together with the materials necessary to manufacture it, moved into the White House to supervise the work of reason.

At that time Washington was full of Communists, both domestic and foreign, and they had already obtained that complete control of most of the governmental bureaus that they have held ever since and progressively increased. It was a matter of common knowledge that in many of the civilian agencies only persons approved by the Communist party could hold offices of any responsibility. That is, of course, mere commonplace now, and, in informed circles, was mere commonplace then.

We all know, for example that Congressman Dies of Texas happened to obtain photographs of a part of the Communist records which showed that 2,500 members of just three governmental departments—State, Justice, and Interior—were card-carrying members of the Communist Party. He took those records to

## ALL AMERICA MUST KNOW THE TERROR THAT IS UPON US

(From a speech by Dr. R. P. Oliver, University of Illinois Professor of the Classics, in March, 1959, before the DAUGHTERS OF THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION Annual Illinois State Convention.)

President Roosevelt, who, in one of those fits of almost insane temper to which he was so frequently subject, screamed out, "Many of my best friends are Communists!" and ordered the Congressman from the room.

It is not so generally known that a presidential order commanded the Naval Intelligence Service immediately to cease all inquiry into the activities of Communists, whether domestic or alien, and to destroy all records concerning Communist traitors and spies.

Washington was in 1942 what it is today—a city in which, as one bureaucrat complacently assured me, "you've got to be a Communist to get anywhere." It was even a city in which it was dangerous to be an American. I was there during the obscene farce—many ways a tragic farce—called the "Sedition Trial." Everyone in Washington knew that the one purpose of this trial was to intimidate Americans. Read about it sometime. Thirty men and women from all over the country, most of whom had never even heard of one another and who had in common only outspoken criticism of Communism, were hauled to Washington in hand-cuffs and leg irons, imprisoned in cells kept dark so that they could not read, and subjected to the most fantastic trial for conspiracy ever conducted outside Russia or an admittedly satellite state.

The presiding judge, Eicher, who had, I understand, been appointed on the demand of Felix Frankfurter, was one of the new breed of Federal judges, that is to say, viciously corrupt, and he finally died while under impeachment for malfeasance in office.

The Assistant Attorney General of the U. S. who prosecuted the case was another protégé of Felix Frankfurter, and he was rewarded for his efforts when in 1950 he went to Russia as the personal guest of Stalin.

The Hungarian revolt provides one of the hundreds of bits of evidence that show the close cooperation between the Kremlin and the bureaucracy that captured Washington under Franklin Roosevelt and has been expanding and strengthening itself ever since.

When the Hungarian people spontaneously rose in revolt, they were, as several refugees have assured me, cozened and confused by lying broadcasts from the radio station that is so sardonically called Radio Free Europe. This station, which, as Fulton Lewis has recently shown, is staffed largely by Communist agents, is supported by secret grants from C.I.A., our Central Intelligence Agency. It is also supported by funds collected every year from the American people. That is just a bit of Communist humor. They delight in tricking Americans into contributing money to ostensibly charitable enterprises for their own destruction.

When the Hungarians suffered, and died, and begged in vain for help, only one nation in Europe dared to offer them even a modicum of assistance. That was Spain, a nation headed by General Francisco Franco, whom the Communist-dominated press in the United States so regularly vilifies. Spain agreed to send the Hungarians the weapons they most desperately needed, anti-tank guns. Spain arranged to transport the guns in her own planes, and arranged with Germany to permit these planes, on their return trip, to land to refuel, since the Spanish planes could not carry enough gasoline for a round trip. Now our Central Intelligence Agency, which seems never to be able to discover anything to the advantage of the United States, quickly found out about the Spanish plan to help the Hungarians.

Dr. Oliver has life tenure at the University of Illinois and writes for NATIONAL REVIEW and other libertarian publications.)

Distribute this to others!

The C.I.A. transmitted the information to our State Department, which immediately went into action and brought very strong pressure to bear on the German government to cancel the permission that had been given for the Spanish planes to refuel on their return journey. Thus was Washington able to save the Russians considerable inconvenience during their massacre of the Hungarians.

The Central Intelligence Agency is an organization so secret that even our senators are not allowed to know anything of its operations. But, as was recently disclosed in National Review, the head of any section of this agency has full power to employ even persons whose Communist records are in the files of the F.B.I. The agency has undoubtedly undergone progressive change in the past nine years. It was in June, 1945, you will remember, that Admiral Hillenkoetter, who was then head of the agency, received an inquiry from Senator McCarran concerning one hundred new appointees to the United Nations staff. Admiral Hillenkoetter replied that according to a preliminary check of his records, 28 of these appointees were officers in the Communist Party and that 32 others were known members of the Russian espionage system. Admiral Hillenkoetter was immediately transferred to a desolate outpost in the Pacific. This was, of course, but one of the many incidents by which our army and navy officers have been shown that they dare not do anything that would displease our Communist conspirators.

I trust that you have not overlooked the latest triumph of what may fairly be called the Washington-Moscow Axis. The Batista government in Cuba was not, to be sure, a perfect government, but it probably was as good a government as one could ever reasonably expect to find in an island largely populated by mongrels. Batista, however, had committed the one sin that is unforgivable in the eyes of the people who call themselves our "liberal intellectuals": he was an enemy of Communism. Not only was he a reliable ally of the United States, but he had even gone so far as to sever diplomatic relations with Russia, an act which greatly embarrassed our State Department. It was clear, therefore, that he must be eliminated. Accordingly the revolt led by Fidel Castro was organized. You will recall that some of the preparations for it were quite openly made in Florida.

Now although Castro thought it worth while to say that he is not himself a member of the Communist Party, his principal assistants including his brother, were notorious Communists, and no reasonable person, even slightly acquainted with Latin American affairs, could ever have doubted that the Castro revolt was a Communist operation. It was primarily to secure bases for the many Russian submarines which are constantly cruising along our Atlantic Coast, and to permit the establishment of rocket bases within one hundred miles of American soil.

During the progress of his takeover in Cuba, Castro received supplies from Russian submarines, and, of course, vast quantities from the United States. The shipments from Florida were, of course, technically smuggled to him, but oddly enough our government was never able to intercept them.

But even with this help Castro would have failed but for the energetic intervention of our State Department. The technique used is, of course, a standard procedure and should be quite familiar to all of us by now.

You will recall that when General George Marshall was sent to China to arrange for the Communist occupation of

that country, he was able to accomplish his mission by simply cutting off from General Chiang Kai-Shek the supplies of ammunition that he needed for his soldier's rifles, which were all, of course, of American manufacture. \$150,000,000 worth of ammunition on ships that were about to land was simply dumped in the ocean, and, of course, no further shipments were made. Thus Chiang Kai-Shek's men could not use even their rifles, to say nothing of machine guns and artillery, and he had to retreat before the Communist invaders, who had been equipped with the huge Japanese stores of weapons and munitions that we had turned over to them in Manchuria.

Following this established pattern, our State Department cut off all supplies of ammunition to the small army of Colonel Batista in Cuba, which was, of course, equipped with weapons purchased in the United States. Even ammunition that Batista had bought and already paid for was confiscated on the docks. It seems quite clear that Batista's men did not desert; they simply had nothing to shoot.

You will also remember that while the great betrayal in China was under way under the direction of such eminent figures as General Marshall and Professor Owen Lattimore, the controlled press in this country was busy with propaganda to the effect that Chiang Kai-Shek was a scoundrel, and that the Communists in China were just innocent "agrarian reformers."

The same technique, of course, now being used. The press is once again filled with manufactured reports of the past inequities of Batista, and with glowing accounts of Fidel Castro as an "agrarian reformer" and the "George Washington of Cuba."

As soon as the Russians have built their submarine bases and set up the launching fields for ballistic missiles in Cuba, our State Department will no doubt again tell us: "Oops! We were mistaken. But now that launching sites for atomic missiles have been set up within one hundred miles of the United States, war is unthinkable, ain't it?"

By the way, you will probably witness in the next few months the destruction of our only remaining ally in the Caribbean—our only certain ally south of Canada, the Dominican Republic. That was, to my mind, clearly forecast by the Galindez affair three years ago, but it has now been made quite certain by our recent official proclamation, issued over the name of President Eisenhower, to the effect that we look with great disapproval upon "dictators" such as General Trujillo.

The Communist underground is our real danger, and no one can accurately measure its total strength. Its members all vociferously deny that they are Communists, and they are so confident that when everything is working properly no one conspirator can positively identify more than five of his accomplices. Louis Budenz recounts with wry humor that when he had worked himself far enough up in the Communist Party to become managing editor of the Daily Worker and a member of the official party's national executive council, he began to fancy himself a person of some importance, until one of his superiors remarked dryly, "Son, all that you have seen is just the periscope of the submarine."

On 3 March 1958 Congressman Francis E. Walter published a report that "the Kremlin has succeeded in enlisting, at a conservative estimate, more than a million Americans. . . . There are at this moment the equivalent of some twenty combat divisions of enemy troops on American soil. . . . troops that are loyal only to the Soviets." In other words, you are now living in a country that has been partly occupied. Unless the American people now make a desperate effort to save themselves, they will, within four years, I think, find themselves in the position of the Hungarians when they made their frantic effort to regain their freedom three years ago.



(Continued from May issue)

In Washington it was—and is—easy to meet Communists; in fact, what is difficult is to avoid meeting them. I tried to take advantage of the opportunity to observe the vermin socially. And I have been observing them ever since.

There are two basic facts that one must bear in mind when one tries to understand Communists.

First, all the talk about an "ideological struggle" is mostly nonsense. No Communist, except glib college boys and other children, really believes in the doctrine of socialism. Marx's absurd theories are merely a propaganda device, a means to an end. The intellectual processes of the Communist have been well explained by Czeslaw Milosz in his *Captive Mind*, and by Gerhart Niemeyer in his *Inquiry into the Soviet Mentality*, but they were best epitomized by Duane Thorin.

This young American, who had somehow escaped brain-washing in his high school and college, was taken prisoner in Korea and interrogated for months by the Chinese Communists who sought to convert him. He received some attention from a really important Communist official, and his native shrewdness enabled him to see that the Communists who make the decisions had only contempt for their inferiors who really believed the Marxist propaganda. As Thorin neatly puts it: "Intellects that failed to see through the fallacies of Communism were so arrested that they were of only limited use in the totalitarian state."

It is quite incorrect, therefore, to say of a Communist, unless you mean that he is a fool, that he believes in Communism. Communism is not a theory in which men may believe; it is a conspiracy in which men participate. So far as Communism has an intellectual basis, that basis may be found in the doctrine, not of Karl Marx, but of John Dewey. The Communist is the perfect Pragmatist; he is a Nihilist; he believes that there is no such thing as truth. He repudiates and hates all the traditions and culture of the Past; there is no truth, there is no value in the world but the satisfaction of his own whims and appetites, whatever they may be. Intellectually speaking, he is a beast—perhaps the lowest and most dangerous of all beasts.

Perhaps the neatest illustration of the intellectual processes of a Communist and the jargon that he loves to use will be found in comments made to me by a universally president some time ago. I had happened to mention the old ideal of scholarship and learning: disinterested pursuit of truth. I thought that he would at least pay lip service to this ideal, but he interrupted me imperiously.

"There is no truth but social truth," he said.

"And what," I asked, "is social truth?" He replied quite simply: "It is what it is expedient for a society to tell its members."

"And who," I asked, "determines what story it is expedient to tell the suckers?" He smiled. "WE do."

Now I cannot be certain that the man in question was a member of the Communist underground, but the reasoning that he employed is that of the Communist mind. There is no truth; there is only expediency. Truth, in other words, is lies.

But intellectual nihilism, the repudiation of all concepts of truth, justice, humanity, is not sufficient to explain the terrible energy of the Communist conspiracy. That energy is emotional; it is a lust, a craving, a passion. I had my first glimpse of this passion early in my days in Washington.

I met a young man who had just come into the army. He looked like an amiable college boy, and, as a matter of fact, he had been graduated from law school only two or three years before. He had been working for the Labor Relations Board, and he enthusiastically described to me the way in which he and his colleagues would raid small American corporations (that were inclined to be recalcitrant, seize their books and cart them off, ostensibly for the purpose of photographing them, but actually to force the company, paralyzed by the loss of its records, in submission, I was a little shocked, and I asked, "Lieutenant, didn't it seem to you that

## ALL AMERICA MUST KNOW How Reds Work In Our Government

(From a speech by Dr. R. P. Oliver, University of Illinois Professor of the Classics, in March, 1959, before the DAUGHTERS OF THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION Annual Illinois State Convention.)

you were violating the constitutional rights of American business men?" He was a young man, and he answered promptly, "Rights? Why, they're capitalists. When we stand them up against a wall, we will shoot them in the belly; they die longer that way."

That was how I first came to suspect the real orientation of Communist minds, but only after years of observation, and with help from the illuminating analysis by Denise Rougemont, did I realize that the young man was exceptional only in the readiness with which he let slip the mask. The true Communist, whatever disguise he may wear when he moves among you, is, in the literal and exact meaning of the English word, a thug, that is, a man who has made a religion of murder.

He is driven by an overwhelming lust to destroy and uproot, to annihilate civilization, to kill—and even more than that, to make decent men and women suffer, to degrade them to the level of animals. And he will work constantly, tirelessly, with infinite cunning for his ideal: The day when he will drag you from your home, kick in your teeth, crack your ribs, and throw you into a slave-labor camp where you will drag out the rest of your life in a degradation that you have never imagined even in nightmares. That is what he yearns for. Economic and social theories are merely a disguise to befuddle suckers.

In 1872, when the headquarters of the International Communist conspiracy were openly and officially transferred to New York City, there were only a few hundred people in the United States who were inspired by this bloodlust. But they went to work, patiently, doggedly, and with vicious cunning, to extend their tentacles over the entire nation. They went underground. By 1878 practically all of the members, including, of course, the new recruits, had been instructed to conceal their membership and deny that they were Communists; to penetrate existing American organizations, and to help one another attain positions of influence and power in those organizations; to work for the Communist goal under the guise of "social reform" and "democracy." This is, of course, the basic strategy that has been followed ever since.

By 1907 the Communists were strong enough to organize a wing of the party that operated openly under the leadership of a criminal named William Z. Foster. One of Foster's closest associates and advisers, in 1907 or soon thereafter, was Felix Frankfurter, an immigrant who had wormed his way into the post of secretary to the Secretary of War in the cabinet of President Howard Taft.

By 1910 the first big Communist cell was planted in an American university—Harvard, of course. So far as I have been able to learn, the famous cells in Teachers College of Columbia University were not organized until somewhat later.

By 1917 the Communist conspiracy in the United States was strong enough directly to affect the policies of the American government. As everyone knows, the Communist seizure of power in Russia was carried out by criminals trained in the United States. A whole shipload of this vermin was sent from New York City under the command of a butcher named Leib Bronstein, who became famous under one of his many aliases, Leon Trotsky. This ship was intercepted by the British Navy and taken to Halifax, but a vigorous protest from President Wilson forced the British to release this shipment of human germs and escort it to Russia.

The pressure on Wilson doubtless came from several sources, but the most important seems to have been a banker named Jakob Schiff, who had been the financial

manager of Wilson's presidential campaign, and whose banking house, Kuhn, Loeb & Co., had more than a year earlier deposited in Swedish banks \$30,000,000 in the name of Trotsky and another degenerate whose true name and early career has never been satisfactorily ascertained but who is known to history as Nikolai Lenin.

In 1918 Felix Frankfurter was publicly denounced by former President Theodore Roosevelt as an accomplice of Lenin and Trotsky. He accordingly retired from the American government to a professorship in Harvard University, where he settled down to train two generations of traitors and slip them into positions of responsibility in Washington or into law schools throughout the nation. Alger Hiss was but one of the hundreds whom Frankfurter prepared for their important tasks.

I have mentioned these dates primarily to remind you of the great progress that the Communist conspiracy made before 1919, when they decided to set up an official political party headed again by William Z. Foster. This official party, the segment of the conspiracy that is openly displayed, has never been large. It probably has never had over 90,000 card-carrying members at any one time. It has served on the one hand as a recruiting and testing agency to enlist talented conspirators who, after they had proven their worth and ability, could quietly be transferred to one or another division of the underground, and it has served on the other hand as a shield to mask and facilitate the work of the part of the apparatus that consists of conspirators who pose as "liberal intellectuals," and who urge the American people to save themselves from Communism by reforming their legal and economic system along Communist lines at home, and by taxing themselves to finance Communist government abroad.

Now these foreign events, although, of course, designed to weaken further our military position, are, in the Communist plans, strictly subordinate to the great program of internal subversion. This also has its center in Washington. Let me call your attention to one detail that you may not have noticed. We have all read about the president's so-called "economy" budget, and the loud clamoring in Congress for increased expenditures, which is, of course, primarily a means of making foolish Americans think they have gained something if merely the crippling burden of that "economy" budget is dumped on their backs. Taxation has always been one of the primary instruments of the Communist conspiracy. You may remember the famous remark that is attributed to Harry Hopkins, I know not how accurately: "We have to bleed the American pigs dry before we butcher them."

But in this "economy" budget one should note which department of government was granted the largest increase. It is the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, which, in this budget, is granted a total outlay of \$3,139,719,000—an increase of one billion dollars over 1954. Now this is the agency that is spending its money to promote such things as fluoridation of public water supplies.

By the way, you are here on the scene of one of their great triumphs, probably a triumph obtained by lavish expenditures from the Federal treasury. The unfortunate people of Chicago were ordered by the little dictator in their city hall to drink water contaminated with sodium fluoride, and they are now drinking it, and are not even allowed to express their own opinion by a vote.

The Department of Health, Education, and Welfare is also the agency that is cur-

rently promoting another Communist scheme to enlist the services of well-meaning dupes under the guise of "social good." The current agitation about Mental Health was begun by the World Health Organization whose president was that ubiquitous traitor, Alger Hiss. It is sponsored in this country by the National Association for Mental Health, whose director, Julius Schrieber, refused to tell a Congressional committee whether or not he was a Communist on the grounds that his answer might incriminate him.

But the greatest promotion is, of course, done with your money by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. In this one department, according to Herbert Philbrick, an agent of the F.B.I. who spent nine years in the Communist party, you will find fully one-third of the top echelon of Communist conspirators in this country. Note, please, that he does not say that one-third of the people in the Department are Communists. On the contrary, observers in Washington estimate that 75% to 80% of the responsible officers are conspirators.

Philbrick's point is that of the whole top echelon of international criminals, one-third have chosen to place themselves in that one department. That's a lot of rotten eggs to put in one basket, but the statistic will help you understand why this department needs \$3,139,719,000 for its "minimal" operations next year.

I have said enough, I think, to suggest how desperate our situation is. Our ancestors were never confronted by a danger so terrible and so immediate.

But I permit myself to see some hope. As the huge sale of the Overstreet book shows, the American people, who watched in a narcotic apathy, induced by the press and the radio, while the Communist conspiracy struck down the late Senator Joseph McCarthy, are at last becoming faintly aware of their danger. Some people everywhere have been made uneasy by such outrageous exhibitions of Communist confidence as the use of paratroopers to overawe Americans who thought that they had constitutional rights. Protest is at last being heard against taxes that are imposed ostensibly for "welfare" and "progress," but in reality merely to bleed dry what Hopkins called "the American pigs." Farmers are rejecting the bribes offered them by the communists, and resenting governmental terrorism. And what is even more important, on the local level in many a community people are becoming aware of the real purposes behind such things as regional planning, fluoridation, "mental health," "counseling programs" in the schools, and the many other local activities that serve the Communist purpose of degrading the hoobs to fasten on themselves their own chains.

I know of no more clear and concise program for Americans than that which you set forth in the magnificent set of resolutions adopted last April by your Continental Congress. I may say that I first permitted myself to hope when I read those resolutions in the pages of the American Mercury, a magazine which, by the way, the Communist conspiracy, through its control of the distributing agencies, has succeeded in barring from most of the nation's newsstands.

Remember that the tentacles of the conspiracy reach into your home town, where its puppets are promoting fluoridation, "mental health," and innumerable other plans to accustom us to gradually increasing slavery and intimidation. On these issues also you have in your resolutions given Americans a program for action.

Take that program and act by it in all matters, national or local. Make your voice heard. Each one of you belongs to a club or a social circle. Speak out; tell Americans of their danger. I ask you for courage and resolution; you have displayed them as an organization; now display them as individuals in the community to which you belong.

This may, I know, entail some sacrifice. But our ancestors risked their lives and their honor to create this nation. Is it too much to ask us to risk our lives, if necessary, to recapture it from our enemies?

# UNESCO - COMMUNISM'S TRAP FOR OUR YOUTH

by PAUL HARTLEY, News Analyst, American Broadcasting Network, Chicago, Illinois

## THE CASE FOR WORLD GOVERNMENT

When your child comes home from school parroting some phrase about the "world society of the future" - is he going to tell him it's wrong for him to "love his neighbor"?

When he comes home talking of world brotherhood, are you going to contradict the Christmas message of peace on earth and tell him all men are NOT brothers? Now wait a minute.

When your child goes from house-to-house for UNICEF, collecting coins for under-privileged children overseas, are you prepared to tell him he should not be charitable to those who have less?

When his elementary school textbook indoctrinates him with the philosophy of world government are you prepared to insist that world war is preferable?

Are you against World Government just because the American Legion is against it?

I have been wrestling with these questions and seeking answers for several weeks.

I told you there was evidence that UNESCO was brainwashing patriotism out of our youngsters and subverting the United Nations for God.

I said if I found fire where I'd smelled smoke we'd go into it further. Well, I have gone into it further.

I have burned a lot of late nights digesting and analyzing all the evidence, pro and con, concerning UNESCO, and I have reached a conclusion which I want to discuss with you, but you are going to have to wade with me through some of the preliminary evidence.

UNESCO is the United Nations' Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. It is an organization with thousands of publications too vast to enumerate.

UNESCO booklets are distributed through the National Education Association and the PTA to teachers and students.

UNESCO literature is issued through YMCA groups and churches, radio and TV programs, newspapers, magazines, civic groups, government agencies, but mostly directly and indirectly, it's philosophy of one-world-government is aimed at the classroom.

Twelve persons connected with the administration of UNESCO have been identified in sworn testimony before congressional committees as COMMUNISTS.

Seven have been connected with SOVIET ESPIONAGE.

Six others refused to appear before government loyalty boards.

But now we are not challenging the loyalty or the motives of this organization. We are seeking to determine whether, whatever their intentions, a whole generation of young Americans is getting brainwashed for their own good or somebody else's.

Sometimes the defenders of the citadel, when they get desperate, become as vicious as the attackers.

The critics of UNESCO have sometimes let themselves get so worked up that they start flailing their arms, swinging in all directions, becoming at once ineffectual and slightly ludicrous.

Let us, instead, suppose that the world government idea is a good one.

Let us admit that all God's children would be better off living together than fighting and that the next generation of children all over the world should be reared to this understanding.

That national boundaries are not something to fight over and that a united United Nations is the way to discuss and dissolve our disagreements.

That it is unnecessary to spill any more blood defending the American Flag if we all pledge allegiance to the UN flag.

That is what the UNESCO disciples believe.

They are not all COMMUNISTS. They are decent citizens who are convinced that this is the better way and that is why they are indoctrinating your child today through UNESCO literature and UNESCO influence in his elementary school classroom.

But this is THE REST OF THE STORY.

Russia is not thus indoctrinating Russian children.

This is the larger issue. This is the point well-meaning veterans' organizations and other have missed. While we are allowing our youngsters thus to have their American allegiance brainwashed away so they not consider Americanism worth fighting for anymore, the Russians in their schools and I wouldn't say this if I couldn't document it with current evidence) continue to preach and teach their elementary school

children that COMMUNISM is the only efficient government.

That God does not exist.

That Russia will one day rule the world.

Now wait a minute!

I'm talking now to the mostly good American parents who have been convinced that UNESCO was the proper way to prepare the next generation of world citizens to live together.

Don't you see what's happening?

Through UNESCO - American schoolchildren are being influenced away from their national allegiance.

American schoolchildren are being indoctrinated with world government ideals while the Russian prepare their children to run that world government!

They are softening the patriotism of our next generation while hardening their own.

The end result of this lopsided indoctrination is to oblige us to require elaboration.

Ex-Senator William Benton, speaking to UNESCO, said, "We are at the beginning of a long process of breaking down the walls of our national sovereignty. In this UNESCO can be, and indeed must be, the pioneer."

But Red Poland has pulled out of UNESCO.

And Red Hungary.

And Red Czechoslovakia.

They will not use this "break down the walls" and love everybody stuff in their schools.

They will love COMMUNISM and teach COMMUNISM and only we, United States of Americans will dilute our patriotism until the American flag is a faded rag not worth fighting for.

You may have difficulty getting your school administrators to admit that they are using UNESCO materials even if they are. The best test is to learn directly from the students if they are being taught that "collectivism is inevitable," that "polygamy is acceptable," that "private property has no place in the new order," that "we are all citizens of the world with an allegiance to all nations and to no one nation."

School teachers are vulnerable.

The President of the National Education Association.

Dr. Lyman Ginger, says Russia "has an excellent school system". The basis for his judgement is that the Russian teacher is paid 1 1/2 times as much as the Russian skilled worker. Of course that fact loses its luster when you realize that the Russian factory workers have to work 80 hours and 49 minutes to buy one pair of shoes.

Yet many American teachers have felt that in adopting the "international" outlook they were being "modern" and imitating what their NEA President calls the Soviet Union's "excellent school system." Though Russia's schools are not teaching "Internationalism: Communism over God! Russia over all!"

Ask Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt about her recent visit to Russia.

Are Soviet schools incorporating the UNESCO program?

Certainly not.

Are Russian schools teaching that one nation is just like any other nation and that we must all live together under a United Nations?

Certainly not.

Our UNESCO literature is indoctrinating American children with the idea that we should have an "International Anthem."

Would Russian schools thus teach Russian children?

Certainly not.

Mrs. Roosevelt found the Russians demanding an indelible allegiance to their own country. Seeking in every way to strengthen their own country. Teaching their children, by the Pavlovian reflex method, precisely and only what the Soviet state wants them to believe.

As Pavlov taught his conditioned dogs, they teach their children to hate the United States.

Is there nothing to encourage international peace in their curriculum?

Nothing.

The classroom is a nationalistic weapon of the Kremlin.

The UNESCO Seminar - which guides American teachers - states, "One of the chief aims of education everywhere is to develop those qualities of citizenship which provide the foundation upon which international government must be based."

No, not "everywhere."

So before we re-write our textbooks, as the UNESCO Seminar recommends, "on a view to improving them as aids to international understanding," let's be sure everybody else follows suit or the implication is obvious. We grow nationally soft while they grow hard and strong. Only a Russian citizen or a Soviet subversive would want that!

Now please don't come back at me with the many old pro-and-con arguments that have been weighed by the American Legion and the VFW and countless civic and discussion groups for years. I have, I believe, seen them all and I am not concerned with more hash from these leftovers.

We are not debating whether UNESCO's philosophy is good or evil.

We are not opposing the United Nations.

We are not denouncing International Cooperation.

We are not challenging the rightness of the wrongness of editing textbooks to put the UN in and re-writing history to take the US out and coaching our teachers to erase national boundaries from the minds of the next generation.

I only object to this one fact!

It is not who is right that is of greatest importance, but what is right!

That while we are thus conditioning our children to salute a mongrel flag Russia is conditioning her children to salute only the Hammer and Sickle.

Suppose you and I were trainers, training professional fighters and you taught yours to fight and I taught mine to dance the minuet, we'll have a real happy party. But Russia is training fighters!

Now, you say, what can you do?

If you are concerned with preserving patriotism in the next generation of Americans then the youngster first must hear it at home.

Dad's constant complaining about unfair taxes and "crooks in Washington" does little to instill faith and confidence in our government. It must be counterbalanced with a respect for the strength of our nation, rather than a constant exaggerated emphasis on its weaknesses.

After the youngster has heard a little war-rungled conversation around the house, it's time for the parents to attend PTA meetings and to take an active, intense, personal interest in what the school is teaching... and what it is not teaching.

If you don't... others will.

If you figure you can stay home and play cards on PTA night and "let George do it," you'd better expect the worst because George doesn't always agree with you.

The FBI has established that the Communists in the United States, under orders from Moscow, are making a concerted effort to work through respectable forums including PTA groups. If you can't take an interest in directing your child's education, they will!

Then? Right now.

Much has been said about what Americans stand for. Equally important are the things Americans won't stand for.

And for goodness sake, understand this.

Everybody who subscribes to the UNESCO philosophy of education is not a Communist. He may unwittingly be their tool, but a host of them are decent, honorable, entirely sincere parents like yourself who believe this is the best way to prepare their children for a future day when they must live with others in peace or perish in an atomic ash pile.

Don't try to shoot them down, but try patiently to help them understand that Russia, as usual, is not keeping her part of this cooperative bargain.

Russia permits no UNESCO indoctrination of her youngsters.

Russia teaches "Communism without compromise," and unless we prepare young Americans to believe in freedom without compromise, so that they will keep it strong and defend it against all enemies (foreign and domestic), we are dooming tomorrow's Americans to the fate of today's Hungarians.

But if we can revive in America's youth some of the faith of our fathers then this will again become the land of the free and the home of the brave and strong enough to keep our enemies at arms length which is quite enough to hope for in our time.

REPRINTS AVAILABLE - 1/4 each  
NATIONAL REPRINT SERVICE  
BOX 365, PARK RIDGE, ILLINOIS



The UNITED STATES HAS ...

NO ARMY  
NO NAVY      NO AIR FORCE

For Doubting Thomases who think this statement is not true .....

Senate Bill #2180<sup>a</sup> entitled "The Arms Control and Disarmament Act" was approved by the House of Representatives as House Bill No. 9118<sup>b</sup> and was signed into effect as Public Law 87-297 on September 26, 1961<sup>c</sup> by John F. Kennedy, President of the United States. This Bill was prepared to expedite a plan already proposed at Geneva by our Administration and State Department (see Publication #7277 for full particulars of its terms) to effect the "legal" connotation to disarmament.

<sup>a</sup> SEE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, PAGE 17590, SEPTEMBER 8, 1961.

<sup>b</sup> SEE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, PAGES 19038-19104, SEPT. 19, 1961 (FIRST VOTE) — PAGE 19640, SEPT. 23, 1961 (FINAL AND APPROVAL).

<sup>c</sup> SEE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, PAGE 0912, SEPT. 26, 1961.

\*\*\*\*\*

"The only thing that keeps our Army, Navy and Air Force from being wiped out of existence is public opinion. At any time he chooses the President of the United States can now transfer our Army, Navy, and Air Force (your husband, father, son, or brother) to the command of Eugene D. Kiselev (Russian) who is Secretary of the United Nations Security Council (World Police Force.)"

\*\*\*\*\*

Attributed to secret agreement between Alger Hiss and Molotov confirmed by Trygve Lie\* and U.S. State Department<sup>#</sup> and verified by subsequent action, the SECRETARY OF THE UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL (who is in command of the United Nations Military Secretariat (World Police Force)<sup>1</sup> MUST ALWAYS BE A RUSSIAN!!!!

Here is the record:

1946-1949	Arkady S. Sobelov <sup>1</sup>	U.S.S.R.
1950-1953	Konstantine Zinchenko <sup>2</sup>	U.S.S.R.
1953-1957	Ilya Tchernyshev	U.S.S.R.
1957-1960	A. Dobrynin	U.S.S.R.
1960-1962	George P. Arkadev <sup>3</sup>	U.S.S.R.
1962-	Eugeny D. Kiselev	U.S.S.R.

\* PAGES 45-46 OF HIS BOOK "IN THE CAUSE OF PEACE."

<sup>#</sup> BULLETIN No. 422A, DATED AUGUST 2, 1947.

<sup>c</sup> ARTICLES 46, 47 OF UNITED NATIONS CHARTER.

<sup>1</sup> HAD LT. GENERAL A. PH. VASILIEV AS CHAIRMAN OF THE MILITARY STAFF COMMITTEE — THE SAME MAN WHO WAS IN CHARGE OF A REPORT CONTAINING "RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE ORGANIZATION OF THE ARMED FORCES MADE AVAILABLE TO THE SECURITY COUNCIL BY MEMBER NATIONS OF THE UNITED NATIONS, AND THE SAME MAN WHO WAS GRANTED A LEAVE OF ABSENCE AFTER ~~HE~~ HE IN THIS ORGANIZATION TO SERVE AS ADVISOR TO NORTH KOREAN COMMUNISTS DURING THE KOREAN WAR IN CHARGE OF ALL MOVEMENTS ACROSS THE 38TH PARALLEL AND FROM THE EVIDENCE INDICATES THAT HE KNEW WHAT THE U.S. TROOPS WOULD DO BEFORE MACARTHUR WAS GIVEN HIS ORDERS BY ZINCHENKO.

<sup>2</sup> SCREENED MILITARY DIRECTIVES TO GENERAL DOUGLAS MACARTHUR DURING THE KOREAN "POLICE ACTION."

<sup>3</sup> SCREENED AND ORDERED CONGO-KATANGA DIRECTIVES AND HAD MORE TO SAY THAN YOU DID THAT AMERICAN PLANES BE USED TO DESTROY ANTI-COMMUNISTS IN THE CONGO!

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

\*\*\* DATE 10-31-2005 BY 60309 AUC TAM/MLT/STW

Some one wrote, "WHO IS TAMPERING WITH THE SOUL OF AMERICA?" - The answer is - MORE than TAMPERING - Our government has been taken over by the RULING POWER CALLED THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS - the MONEY BARONS WHO WISH TO RULE THE WORLD - They have done this with the help of CONGRESS - who listen to them and pass their legislation - okay the appointments of their members to the positions where they can carry out their plans. THE UNITED NATIONS IS THEIR PLAN FOR WORLD DICTATORSHIP \*

Our Congressman supposed to represent the people - betrayed them when they signed this Charter. How many people have even read it and understand what has happened? Why fight communism when we are ruled by them in the U.N.? American people have been tricked and deceived - still they do not understand even now! WAKE UP - WAKE UP!

THE HANDWRITING ON THE WALL

SOON, YOU WILL NOT BE A CITIZEN OF A FREE AMERICA!

The U.S. Congress has passed a Law #87-297; which established an office of DICTATOR: This Office to be filled by appointment, appointment by the President.

The Appointee is not called dictator: he is called  
DIRECTOR OF DISARMAMENT AND ARMS CONTROL

He shall give his orders to the SECRETARY OF STATE and to the PRESIDENT; and they shall see that his orders are carried out!

There shall be no Jurisdiction over him: neither by the President, nor by the Congress!

The DIRECTOR shall collaborate with, and receive orders from Eugene Kiselev (Russian), the Sec. of the United Nations.

All the U.S.A. arsenals and conveyances; missiles, planes, tanks and ships ARE THROUGH HIS ORDERS TO BE PLACED AT THE DISPOSAL OF THE U.N. SECRETARY. THEN, ALL MILITARY CENTERS ARE TO BE DISMANTLED; AND ALL AMERICAN MILITARY PERSONNEL DISCHARGED. BUT AFTERWARD: DISCHARGED AMERICANS WILL BE DRAFTED BY THE UNITED NATIONS, TO SERVE IT, IN FAR AWAY PLACES!

For your free AMERICA will be only a vassal state under The U.N. UNCLE SAM CANNOT THEN MOVE HIS FINGER, WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM THE UNITED NATIONS: BUT! KRUSHCHEV AND RUSSIA ALWAYS VETO EVERYTHING UNCLE SAM REQUESTS! SO THEN, THE U.N. WILL NEVER FAVOR THE U.S.A. UNDER ANY CONDITION WHATEVER!

LAW 87-297 IS OPERATING! WM. C. FOSTER IS THE DIRECTOR! FIVE ATOMIC SUBMARINES, POLARIS SUBMARINES HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO THE U.N. THEY HAVE BEEN DEFINITELY PROMISED THE U.N. BY THE PRESIDENT AND SECRETARY McNAMARA; AND AIR-BASES ARE FREQUENTLY BEING DISMANTLED. 87-297 MAKES FOSTER SUBJECT TO NO LAW, NOR TO ANY AUTHORITATIVE BODY!

YOU WILL NOT HAVE YOUR JOB, NOR YOUR HOME, NEITHER YOUR SELF: IF YOUR CONGRESS IS NOT AWAKENED AND CAUSED TO REPEAL PUBLIC LAW 87-297 SOON! IT MUST BE REPEALED VERY SOON!

LET IT BE UNDERSTOOD ALSO, THAT THERE ARE DEPUTY DIRECTORS; and many more will be appointed. There will be these appointed officials over the entire Country; and there will be NO CIVIL COURTS; neither any CIVIL OFFICERS to appeal to: FOR THESE DEPUTIES' ORDERS WILL SUPERSEDE ORDERS OF ALL CIVIL OFFICERS!

SOUNDS FANTASTIC? THEN LISTEN: THE U.N. DID NOT HELP THE LIBERTY-LOVING PEOPLE OF HUNGARY. THE U.N. STOOD BY AND WATCHED THE SAVAGE COMMUNIST HORDES MASSACRE THE PEOPLE OF HUNGARY! THE U.N. HAS HARASSED AND CAUSED ATROCITIES TO BE COMMITTED UPON THE BEST AND ONLY GREAT PEOPLE OF THE CONGO. THE U.N. HAS NEVER HELPED ANY LIBERTY-LOVING PEOPLE. THE U.N. DESPISES GOD. WHAT IS FANTASTIC TRULY IS THAT YOU ARE A PERSONALITY; YET YOU DO NOT KNOW WHEN YOU BEGAN TO BE: YET, YOU HAVE A DESTINY--AND DO NOT KNOW WHERE YOU ARE HEADED.

WHILE YOU AND I WERE OVERWHELMED WITH THE MANY MACHINATIONS OF THE MODERN ERA: THE ONE-WORLDEERS, THROUGH THEIR NEVER-TIRING KIBITZERS OVERWHELMED MOST OF YOUR CONGRESS, AND PRESSURED THEM INTO SURRENDERING YOUR GOVERNMENT.


AND WHO IS WILLIAM C. FOSTER DIRECTOR OF DISARMAMENT WHO HAS THIS GREAT POWER ? HE IS NOT ONLY A MEMBER OF THE RULING POWER, THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS but a DIRECTOR OF THIS COUNCIL! And who is COL. MARSHALL SANDERS USAF - ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE AIR FORCE ? HE IS THE COL. ASSIGNED BY THE AIR FORCE TO SERVE THIS C.F.R. for one year. He is listed in the ANNUAL REPORT OF THE C.F.R. FOR 1962-63 as AIR FORCE RESEARCH FELLOW : This should convince any one WHO RULES THE COUNTRY TODAY. Send to the U.S. FLAG COMMITTEE, P.O. Box 269, Jackson Heights, N.Y. for Report on this C.F.R. - \$1.00 -

1-



*Cgo*

2-




*St Louis*

3-



*Memphis*



*12/56*

*100-33 257-1a1*

b6

b7C



SAC (105-426)

12/7/54

SA MARION B. HUMMEL

AMERICAN FLAG COMMITTEE  
IS - X  
-----

On 11/29/54, Confidential Informant [ ] telephonically advised the writer that on that date informant had been in contact with [ ] of the American Flag Committee. [ ] told the informant that a good sized group from the American Flag Committee was planning to attend a McCarthy Rally in New York City the night of 11/29/54. A special bus carrying the Philadelphia delegation was to leave Rayburn Plaza at 5:00 p.m. on the afternoon of 11/29/54 and would return to Philadelphia following the rally in New York. Informant said that [ ] appeared to be very pleased at the response of the local group to this affair. He did not inform the informant of the number from Philadelphia who planned to attend, but told her that a sizable group planned to attend, and that special rates had been secured from the bus company.

b7D

The above information was brought to the attention of SA CARL E. CLAIBORNE in the library.

Concerning the Constitutional Party of Pennsylvania, informant said that [ ] that this party was relatively inactive in Pennsylvania at the present time. He said that the Constitutional Party is strongest in the State of Texas. With regard to the 1956 presidential campaign, the party has not selected a candidate at the present time. General DOUGLAS MAC ARTHUR, whom the party backed in the last presidential campaign, is to make a major political speech in Los Angeles, Calif., on 1/5/55, at which time it is felt that MAC ARTHUR will voice his own views upon the campaign. The candidate, which the Constitutional Party will select for the 1956 campaign, depends to a great extent upon MAC ARTHUR's speech on 1/5/55.

b7D

MBH/DAP  
(4)

cc: 105-1749 (CONSTITUTIONAL PARTY OF PA.)  
[ ]  
[ ]

b3  
b7D  
b7E

105-1749-3

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
DEC 7 - 1954	
FBI - PHILADELPHIA	
[ ]	

RECORD OF INFORMATION FURNISHED OTHER AGENCIES

ORALLY



BY TELEPHONE



Date:

6/12/59

Information Requested Concerning:

*Lucie Williams  
30 E. Second Ave  
Phila*

Information Furnished from File, Serial and Page Number:

*105-1749-4p1*

Information Furnished to:



b6

b7C

*Mr. CVR*

Remarks:

*No info. F.B.I. Phila. Subject possibly  
identical with above reference*



Special Agent

b6

b7C

*105-1749-4*

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : SAC (105-1749) *cl*

DATE: 5/18/55

FROM : SA MARION B. HUMMEL

SUBJECT: CONSTITUTION PARTY, USA  
IS - X

On 3/21/55, Confidential Source [ ] who has furnished reliable information in the past, orally advised SA MARION B. HUMMEL that she had recently contacted [ ] at which time [ ] that the Constitution Party was planning to become active in Philadelphia. [ ] that they are planning to run candidates on a Constitution Party Ticket in the 1955 city elections. [ ] named the following individuals as potential candidates for the Constitution Party:

For Mayor:

JOSEPH SCHAFER

For City Council:

(FNU) KEEL

TOM WARD

LESLIE WILLIAMS

[ ] received the impressions from [ ] that the above individuals had either attempted to run or had run unsuccessfully on the Republican Party Ticket in some past city election.

[ ] that the Constitution Party needed 9,000 signatures in qualifying petitions in order to get their candidates on the ballot and at that time they were attempting to secure these signatures.

[ ] also told [ ] that the American Flag Committee (of which [ ] is going to get out a newsletter in the near future, and that he will send one to the informant.

On 5/4/55 [ ] advised that as of that date the promised newsletter from the American Flag Committee had not been

MBH:jag *Jag*  
(4)

cc: 105-426 (AMERICAN FLAG COMMITTEE)

INDEXED: [ ]

LESLIE WILLIAMS *cc: [ ]*

105-1749-4

SEARCHED	INDEXED <i>mc</i>
SERIALIZED <i>mc</i>	FILED <i>mc</i>
MAY 19 1955	
FBI - PHILADELPHIA	

*Lumpkin*

b3  
b6  
b7C  
b7D  
b7E

PH 105-1749  
MEMO SAC

5/18/55

received and that she had heard nothing further from [redacted]  
relative to either the Constitution Party's plans for the 1955  
city elections or the American Flag Committee.

b7D



THIRD UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE REGION  
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

REQUEST FOR FBI NAME CHECKS

Date : 6-8-59:llk  
Investigator :  
DD : 7-7-59  
Case Ser. No.: 4.24.59.1110

SUBJECT  
\*WILLIAMS, Leslie  
NAME

AGE OR  
BIRTH DATE  
10-24-06  
RELATIONSHIP

ADDRESS  
30 E. SUNSET AVE.,  
PHILA., PA.

OCCUPATION  
Local Recru it  
ADDRESS

- |    |                         |         |  |
|----|-------------------------|---------|--|
| 1. | Blance MacDonald Porter |         |  |
| 2. | Williams                | Wife    | 1913 E. Sunset Ave., Philadelphia, Pa. |
| 3. |                         |         |  |
| 4. |                         |         |  |
| 5. |                         |         |  |
| 6. | *Step-Father            | Pacanda |  |
| 7. |                         |         |  |
| 8. |                         |         |  |

FORMER ADDRESSES 15 E. Abington Ave., Phila., Pa.

- ☐ No record  
☐ Record(s): information attached

SCSC 3rd reg phila pa.

105-17119-3

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 10 1959	
FBI - PHILADELPHIA	

Initials and Date

31-82.A Mar 51

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 10-06-2005 BY 60309 AUC TAM/MLT/STP

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 10-06-2005 BY 60309 AUC TAM/MLT/STW

# Memorandum

TO : SAC (105-1749)

DATE: 4/5/63

FROM : IC [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

SUBJECT: CONSTITUTION PARTY-USA  
IS - X

On 4/3/63, [REDACTED]  
N.J., telephone [REDACTED] personally appeared at this  
office and advised that [REDACTED], who resides  
[REDACTED] works at the [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Philadelphia, Pa. Recently a co-worker gave her  
several pieces of literature supposedly put out by the  
Constitution Party, USA, [REDACTED] wanted to bring this  
to the attention of the FBI and made this material available.  
The material consists of a sheet entitled "Constitution-News  
Review". One photostatic copy of two ~~XXXX~~ reprints of articles  
dealing with Communism. One copy of an article dealing with  
Disarmament. On article ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ entitled # The DAN SMOOT  
REPORT."

b6  
b7C

The above literature is attached to this memo.

## INDEX

THE DAN SMOOT REPORT.

L (105-~~1748~~  
1749)

HLP

105-1749-6

SEARCHED	by
SERIAL	
APR 8	
Turnikerg	
with encl	

Jan

SAC (157-456)

10/24/63

SA JOHN R. WINEBERG

HERBERT WALLACE BUTTERWORTH;  
RM

Re Atlanta teletype to Director, 10/20/63, cc to Philadelphia entitled "THREATS TO KILL PRESIDENT KENNEDY BY WALLACE AND OLGA BUTTERWORTH, INDIANAPOLIS, IND., 10/19/63."

On 10/22/63 SAC JORDAN, Secret Service, telephonically contacted Field Supervisor NORRIS S. HARZENSTEIN regarding information in the Philadelphia Office on OLGA and WALLACE BUTTERWORTH.

On 10/23/63 copies of the following reports were given to SA [redacted] Secret Service, Philadelphia, regarding BUTTERWORTH and his family background:

Report of SA JAMES G. WOODS at Boston, 5/25/62;  
Report of SA JOHN R. WINEBERG at Philadelphia, 5/31/62;  
Report of CARL E. CLAIBORNE at Atlanta, 5/14/62.

[redacted] was also given information contained in LHM dated 10/16/63, Indianapolis, Ind., regarding the Constitution Party of the U.S. Convention held 10/19-21/63.

[redacted] advised that any additional information obtained by Secret Service in this matter would be given to the FBI.

He said that it was undetermined at this time whether or not OLGA BUTTERWORTH would be interviewed and this would depend on information received from his Atlanta and Indianapolis Offices.

- 1 - 157-456
- 1 - 105-1749 (U.S. CONSTITUTION PARTY)

JRW:MMR  
(2)

105-1749-10

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	<i>initial</i>
OCT 24 1963	
FBI—PHILADELPHIA	

*Bj*

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 10-06-2005 BY 60309 AUC TAM/MLT/STW

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : SAC, PHILADELPHIA

DATE: 11/19/63

FROM ~~SAC~~ SAN FRANCISCO (105-2550)

SUBJECT: CONSTITUTION PARTY OF  
THE UNITED STATES  
IS - X

00 - Philadelphia

Re Indianapolis airtels dated 10/16/63 and 11/4/63.

Referenced airtels set forth that two individuals from San Francisco attended the National Committee meeting at the Marott Hotel, Indianapolis, Indiana, from 10/19-21/63.

A check of San Francisco indices on [redacted] contains no derogatory information. Local telephone directories set forth that she resides at [redacted] San Francisco, and is a retired public school teacher who taught at the [redacted]. A check of the San Francisco Police Department and San Francisco Retailers Credit Association disclosed no derogatory information.

The other individual attending this National Committee meeting was [redacted] San Francisco. Polk 1962 San Francisco City Directory sets forth that she is the wife of [redacted] [redacted] and that she is also known as [redacted] Records of the San Francisco Office reflect that [redacted] of the San Francisco Council of Republican Women.

- 2 - Philadelphia (RM)  
1 - Indianapolis (105-3292) (Info) (RM)  
1 - San Francisco

JD/jr (#9)  
(4)

105-1749-VT

10245 1033

Winkler



Routing Slip  
0-7 (Rev. 3/13-63)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
(Co) DATE 10-06-2005 BY 60309 AUC TAM/MLT/STW

TO: SAC,

☐ Albany  
☐ Albuquerque  
☐ Anchorage  
☐ Atlanta  
☐ Baltimore  
☐ Birmingham  
☐ Boston  
☐ Buffalo  
☐ Butte  
☐ Charlotte  
☐ Chicago  
☐ Cincinnati  
☐ Cleveland  
☐ Dallas  
☐ Denver  
☐ Detroit  
☐ El Paso  
☐ Honolulu

☐ Houston  
☐ Indianapolis  
☐ Jacksonville  
☐ Kansas City  
☐ Knoxville  
☐ Las Vegas  
☐ Little Rock  
☐ Los Angeles  
☐ Louisville  
☐ Memphis  
☐ Miami  
☐ Milwaukee  
☐ Minneapolis  
☐ Mobile  
☐ Newark  
☐ New Haven  
☐ New Orleans  
☐ New York City

☐ Norfolk  
☐ Oklahoma City  
☐ Omaha  
☒ Philadelphia  
☐ Phoenix  
☐ Pittsburgh  
☐ Portland  
☐ Richmond  
☐ St. Louis  
☐ Salt Lake City  
☐ San Antonio  
☐ San Diego  
☐ San Francisco  
☐ San Juan  
☐ Savannah  
☐ Seattle  
☐ Springfield  
☐ Tampa

☐ Washington Field  
☐ Quantico

TO LEGAT:

☐ Bern  
☐ Bonn  
☐ London  
☐ Madrid  
☐ Manila  
☐ Mexico, D. F.  
☐ Ottawa  
☐ Paris  
☐ Rome  
☐ Rio de Janeiro  
☐ Tokyo

Date 12/3/63

RE:

CONSTITUTION PARTY OF  
THE UNITED STATES  
IS - X  
(OO: SA)

- ☒ For information ☐ For appropriate action ☐ Surep, by \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ The enclosed is for your information. If used in a future report, ☐ conceal all sources, ☐ paraphrase contents.
- ☐ Enclosed are corrected pages from report of SA \_\_\_\_\_ dated \_\_\_\_\_

Remarks:

SEARCHED .....	INDEXED .....
SERIALIZED .....	FILED .....
DEC 4 1963	
FBI - PHILADELPHIA	

Enc.  
Bufile  
Urfile

105-26793

SAC, ATLANTA

12/5/63

SAC, NEW ORLEANS (100-15608) P

✓  
CONSTITUTION PARTY  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
IS - X

On 11/7/63 [redacted]

[redacted] furnished SA WILLIAM E. DENT the letter he had received from J. A. MILTEER, who identified himself in the letter as Regional Chairman, Southeastern States, Constitution Party of the United States, Post Office Box 344, Quitman, Ga.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

The letter requested information from the [redacted] concerning JAMES R. VENABLE, attorney from Atlanta, Ga. who defended the Black Muslims in court actions in Monroe during 1960. MILTEER's letter contained four mimeographed leaflets described as follows:

1. Leaflet captioned "DAVID GOES FORTH TO MEET GOLIATH" and bearing the name J. A. MILTEER, Quitman, Ga.;
2. Leaflet captioned "WHY" bearing the mimeographed name J. A. MILTEER, Quitman, Ga.;
3. Leaflet captioned "OPERATION SURVIVAL";
4. Leaflet captioned "E.O.K.K.K.D." which bore the handwritten notation "(This was handed out at a Citizens Council Meeting in Atlanta, Ga. when Governor George Wallace spoke Oct. 7, 1963.)"

This letter, with enclosures, from MILTEER, is herewith enclosed to your office for information and need not be returned. [redacted] stated he did not intend to answer instant letter.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

The leads set forth to the New Orleans Office in Buairtel to Philadelphia, 11/15/63 are being handled.

(Enc. 5 - REGISTERED)

2-Atlanta  
1-Philadelphia (Info) (RM)

2-New Orleans

WED:lyc

5

105-1149-34

12/23/63

AIRTEL

AIRMAIL

TO: SAC, MINNEAPOLIS (100-12877)  
FROM: SAC, MIAMI (105-739) (RUC)  
RE: CONSTITUTION PARTY OF  
THE UNITED STATES  
RACIAL MATTERS  
(OO: Philadelphia)

ReBuairtel to Philadelphia, 11/15/63, and  
Minneapolis airtel to Bureau, 12/13/63.

Enclosed herewith for the Minneapolis Office are  
10 copies and for Philadelphia 2 copies of the Bureau approved  
characterization of the "COUNCIL FOR STATEHOOD".

The source utilized in characterization is

[REDACTED]


b7D

Minneapolis should report information relative to  
[REDACTED] of Minneapolis, Minn., in form suitable for  
dissemination as instructed in reBuairtel to Philadelphia.

b6  
b7C

2 - Minneapolis (Enc. 10) (RM) (AM)  
② - Philadelphia (Enc. 2) (RM) (AM)  
1 - Miami  
FJM:jgn  
(5)

105-12877-46  
[Handwritten signature]  
[Handwritten initials]



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

Denver, Colorado  
December 30, 1963

CONSTITUTION PARTY OF THE UNITED STATES

RE: OLIVER KENNETH GOFF

On October 21, 1963, [redacted]  
to the General Manager, Marriott Hotel, Indianapolis, Indiana,  
advised that Doctor Kenneth Goff, 3406 South Bryant Street,  
Denver, Colorado, attended a convention of the Constitution  
Party of the United States held at this hotel during the  
18th, 19th, and 20th of October, 1963.


b6  
b7c

Oliver Kenneth Goff resides at 3406 South Bryant  
Street, Sheridan, Colorado, a suburb of Englewood and Denver,  
Colorado. Goff is a Minister of the Gospel and has a church  
located at 125 South Sherman Street, Denver.

In a document notarized in Arapahoe County, Colorado,  
dated March 29, 1957, Goff states he was a member of the  
Communist Party (CP) and the Young Communist League (YCL)  
from May 2, 1936 until October 9, 1939. Goff states he  
testified regarding his CP activities before the House  
Committee on Un-American Activities, Washington, D. C., and  
his testimony appears in Volume IX of the House Committee  
on Un-American Activities reports in 1939.

On numerous occasions, Goff has furnished information  
to investigative agencies of the United States Government,  
and on several occasions, has appeared as a witness on  
behalf of the Government in connection with administrative  
hearings, applicants, and employees of the United States.

In December, 1943, Goff organized the "Christian  
Youth of America" for the purpose of having youth in an organization





**CONSTITUTION PARTY OF THE  
UNITED STATES**

which would truly represent the youth in the halls of our legislatures and which youth would stem the tide of communism.

In March, 1943, Goff was an editor of a magazine entitled, "The Pilgrim Torch." In March, 1957, Goff spoke on the subject "Stalin over Colorado" in Denver.

On February 25, 1948, Goff was convicted and fined \$100.00 in United States District Court, Washington, D. C., for violation of Section 255A, Title 22, United States Code, for displaying within five hundred feet of the premises occupied by the Union of Soviet Socialists Embassy certain designated signs adapted to bring the USSR into public odium and disrepute.

The October 24, 1951 issue of the "Denver Post" and the October 25, 1951 issue of the "Rocky Mountain News," both daily newspapers, report that Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth Goff and an individual named Dewey Taft participated in a protest of the flying of the USSR red flag in a United National flag display in Civic Center, Denver, Colorado. Goff was observed to tear down the red flag and in hearings in Municipal Court, Denver, on October 31, 1951, charges of wilful destruction of property were dismissed against the Goffs and Taft.

The October 25, 1951 issue of the "Rocky Mountain News" reports that Goff was the director of the Colorado Anti-Communist League.

The August 21, 1952 issue of the "Denver Post" reports that Goff was the chairman of the Colorado Branch of the Constitution Party.

During the year 1950, Goff published the following pamphlets:

**CONSTITUTION PARTY OF THE  
UNITED STATES**

"Traitors in the Pulpit"  
"Red Betrayal of Youth"  
"Confessions of Stalin's Agent"

The YCL and the CP have both been designated by the Attorney General of the United States pursuant to Executive Order 10450.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. It is the property of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

SAC, Cincinnati

January 2, 1964

Director, FBI

✓  
CONSTITUTION PARTY  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
RACIAL MATTERS  
(OO: PH)

Re Cincinnati letter 12/19/63, captioned,  
"Constitution Party of the United States, IS - X,"  
carrying San Antonio as origin, no copy Philadelphia.

The attention of the Cincinnati Division is  
directed to Buairtel 11/18/63, to Philadelphia under  
the racial matter caption, copy Cincinnati, which indicated  
Philadelphia as origin and also instructed any derogatory  
information concerning persons listed in Indianapolis  
airtel 11/4/63, should be furnished in LHM form with preamble  
indicated.

Cincinnati should handle in compliance with  
previous Bureau instructions.

- ① - Philadelphia (info)
- 1 - San Antonio (info)

Winkberg  
105-1749-57

FD-491 (Rev. 4-21-80)

# Memorandum



*DM*  
To : SAC, PHILADELPHIA (105-1749)

Date 4-4-90

From : SAC, CHICAGO (100-33257)

CONSTITUTION PARTY OF

Subject : THE UNITED STATES

☐ RUC

☒ File Destruction Program

(Title)

Enclosed are -1- items.  
These items are forwarded your office since:

☐ All logical investigation completed in this Division

☒ You were OO at the time our case was RUC'd.

Enclosures are described as follows:

       Original 302

       FD 192-green sheet

-1- FD 340a

       Original Lab reports

       Other

*Handwritten signature*

105-1749-74  
SEARCHED *an* INDEXED *an*  
SERIALIZED *an* FILED *an*

FBI-Philadelphia

*Handwritten signature*



FD-491 (Rev. 4-21-80)

# Memorandum



To : SAC, Philadelphia (105-1749) ) 6/14/91

DD *ful*  
From : SAC, CHICAGO (105-2031)

Subject : CONSTITUTION PARTY OF  
THE UNITED STATES  
RACIAL MATTERS  
OO: PHILADELPHIA

☐ RUC

☒ File Destruction Program

Enclosed are 1 items.  
These items are forwarded your office since:

☐ All logical investigation completed in this Division

☒ You were OO at the time our case was RUC'd.

Enclosures are described as follows:

\_\_\_ Original 302

\_\_\_ FD 192-green sheet

1 FD 340a

\_\_\_ Original Lab reports

\_\_\_ Other

